



Centre on Migration, Policy and Society

**Working Paper No. 132
University of Oxford, 2016**

**Theorising the (de)construction
of ethnic stigma in compulsory education**

Pier-Luc Dupont

WP-16-132

COMPAS does not have a centre view and does not aim to present one. The views expressed in this document are only those of its independent author

Abstract

Until recently confined to a limited number of mainly Anglo-Saxon countries, anti-racist policies are now being formulated across Western Europe, spurred in part by the top-down influence of EU and global human rights institutions. However, psychological and sociological research on ethnic prejudice suggests that current initiatives seldom address its deep political roots, placing on isolated bodies and interventions the burden of counteracting vastly superior structural forces. This paper examines the unfolding of such a paradox in the realm of primary and secondary education, where notions of interculturalism have become part of mainstream policy and practice. Based on a synthesis of the empirical literature, it argues that biased curricula, overwhelmingly White teachers and inter-school ethnic segregation provide a fertile ground for the development of racist attitudes among children. It then goes on to show that education policies generally ignore, exacerbate or inadequately address these cross-national trends, even when they are explicitly singled out as impediments to migrant integration, social cohesion or equal opportunities. Finally, it outlines the far-ranging reforms that would be necessary in order to establish genuinely anti-racist education systems, as well as political challenges and opportunities for such a transformation.

Keywords

Ethnic prejudice, anti-racism, interculturalism, education policy, segregation, curriculum, positive action, religious schools, national identity, Eurocentrism

Author

Pier-Luc Dupont, Human Rights Institute, University of Valencia

C/ Serpis 29, Edificio de institutos de investigación, 1.1

46021 Valencia (Spain)

Email: pier.dupont@uv.es

1. Introduction

In recent years, a number of migration scholars have documented the pervasiveness of negative attitudes toward various categories of immigrants and their descendants in Western Europe (Zick & Pettigrew, 2009). They have also set about identifying the structural drivers of racism,¹ such as the media frames (Gattino & Tartaglia, 2015; Blinder, 2015; Igartua, 2011), academic traditions (Reiter, 2012), political discourses (Schmuck & Matthes, 2015; Anderson, 2013), national ideologies (Curtis, 2014; Pehrson et. al., 2009; Weldon, 2006; Hjerm, 2004; De Figueiredo & Elkins, 2003; Dahinden, Duemmler & Moret, 2014) and spatial segregations (Semyonov & Glickman, 2009) that conspire to create perceptions of a migration-related economic and cultural threat (Bloom, Arikian & Lahav, 2015). Since the turn of the millennium, this has been compounded by the rise of the Islamic religion as a source of Western anxieties (Strabac & Listhaug, 2008). Reversing the perspective, research has shown that representations of immigrants as an economic asset (Turper et. al., 2015), interethnic cooperation and friendship (Pettigrew, 2008; Davies et al., 2011), narratives of historical tolerance (Smeeke et. al., 2011) and inclusive definitions of the national community (Pehrson et. al., 2009) tend to increase the acceptance of ethnic minorities.

At a time of official consensus on the need to put anti-discrimination at the core of European integration policy (Guiraudon, 2009; Joppke, 2007), however, there has been little empirical work on the racism-enhancing properties of political institutions themselves. State-centred theories of racism have been more prominent outside the field, especially among historians (Fredrickson, 2002; Anderson, 1983; Gellner, 1983; Hobsbawm, 1990; Smith, 1991), sociologists (Wieviorka, 1993; Solomos, 2003; Anthias & Yuval-Davis, 1992; Feagin, 2006; Omi & Winant, 2014; Balibar & Wallerstein, 1991; Brubaker, 2009), cultural studies scholars (Gilroy, 2004), political philosophers (Modood, 2007; Parekh, 2008; Anderson, 2010) and critical legal theorists (Delgado & Stefancic, 2013). While providing invaluable insights on the (ab)use of political power for the perpetuation of White domination, these have usually been based on a limited number of case studies (especially in the US and Britain) that limit the transferability of their concepts and results. Moreover, those with a broader scope have rarely engaged with the micro processes that mediate between specific

¹ Racism is a contested concept that has been deployed with varying degrees of emphasis on agents and structure, as well as culture and biology. Since this paper aims to uncover the links between political institutions and individual attitudes, the “racist” label will be applied exclusively to the latter. However, the strong association between cultural and biological stereotypes in contemporary forms of prejudice (Pettigrew & Meertens, 1995; Pettigrew et al., 1997) makes it unnecessary for the present analysis to systematically distinguish these sources of stigma. Therefore, “racism” and “ethnic prejudice” will be used interchangeably.

policies and individual attitudes, hampering the differentiation between core and more peripheral causes of racism. A noteworthy exception can be found in the field of education, which has built on pioneers such as Troyna (1993; Troyna & Hatcher, 1992; Troyna & Carrington, 1990) to produce a number of policy-relevant comparative studies on the determinants of childhood racism. Since ethnic biases emerge as early as five years of age (Raabe & Beelmaann, 2011) and the school environment provides much of the social and normative backdrop for children's development, compulsory education is likely to play a vital role in the overall governance of inter-ethnic relations, along with the citizenship regimes that are more frequently analysed in migration scholarship (Huddleston et al., 2015).

By drawing on various types of educational research, including critical theory, policy descriptions, curriculum analyses, attitude surveys and ethnographic observations, this paper seeks to construct a precise yet parsimonious account of the links between state-regulated education systems and ethnic prejudice. Rather than examining a single context in depth, it focuses on the general Western European trends revealed by recurring themes and international projects, interpreting them in the light of similar studies conducted in other comparable settings. Because of the dynamic nature of schooling arrangements and ethnic relations, an effort is made to highlight long-term processes rather than more contingent ones. Of course, it cannot be ruled out that sudden and unforeseen changes might alter the importance of such processes in attitude formation; indeed, an implicit assumption of policy-oriented theorising is that institutional change is both possible and, at least when it comes to eliminating racism, desirable (Apple, 2008).

The argument proceeds in three parts. First, it addresses the problems of curriculum bias, teacher homogeneity and pupil segregation as crucial racist forces ingrained in current education systems. Subsequently, it highlights the lessons learned from unsuccessful attempts to correct these patterns without disrupting their underlying causes. Finally, it identifies a number of promising reforms whose generalisation seems necessary in order to reconcile compulsory education with ethnic and racial equality. The concluding section examines the feasibility of such a transformation within the wider dynamics of citizenship politics.

2. Understanding the problem

Despite the variety of their immigration histories and official "philosophies of integration" (Favell, 2001), Western European states have faced remarkably similar challenges when it comes to normalising ethnic differences in the educational sphere.

Broadly speaking, these can be grouped into three categories: White, European and Christian biases in the curriculum; underrepresentation of ethnic minorities in the teaching workforce; and high levels of between-school ethnic segregation.

Attitude research shows a general pattern of positive national identification and selective derogation of other national groups among majority children, although there does not seem to be a direct causal relationship between the former and the latter. It also suggests that national identity is often defined in racial and cultural terms, so that minority pupils sometimes exclude themselves from a national category based on their ethnicity. The precise pattern of preferences varies through time and space, with historical geopolitical rivals (Turks in Greece, Germans in the United Kingdom) arousing the highest levels of hostility and entire continents (Africa, Asia and South America) frequently remaining unknown (Barrett, 2007; Oppenheimer, 2006; Carrington & Short, 1995; Philippou & Theodorou, 2014). Cross-nationally, non-Whites and Muslims tend to elicit the most negative stereotypes (Scourfield et al., 2006; Von der Lippe, 2011; Enesco et al., 2005). Prejudices are often justified in moral terms (the other culture is authoritarian or against human rights) and strongly gendered, representing especially boys as violent and girls as submissive (Dahinden et al., 2014).

The determinants of children's national and ethnic attitudes include such contextual elements as holiday destinations, media discourses and personal acquaintances, but the contents and omissions of the school curriculum also seem to play a significant role (Barrett, 2007). To varying degrees, all European states actively intervene in setting educational standards, evaluating students, training teachers and, in some cases, writing or approving textbooks. This involvement tends to result in the hegemony of the cultural groups that happen to dominate political institutions at a given time and whose perspectives shape the sociological, aesthetic and normative aspects of subjects such as history, geography, citizenship, religion and literature. In history, curricula tend to focus on the emergence and triumphs of the state, glossing over its internal divisions, exaggerating its global influence, downplaying its crimes and disregarding important events that took place beyond its boundaries. In geography, they naturalise existing borders based on outstanding landmarks, such as rivers, mountains and seas, overlook their temporal shifts and inaccurately make them coincide with the distribution of national languages and religions. In citizenship, they equate universal moral principles with national values, ignore the latter's failures to live up to the former and represent foreign cultures as less civilised or outright barbaric. In religion, they simultaneously stress the secularisation of the state and the Christian roots of the national culture, depicting other faiths as incompatible with modernity. In literature, they stick to a narrow canon that exalts dominant social practices and

aesthetic standards and regularly glorifies warfare in terms of expansion, survival and heroism. The development of European Union and Council of Europe institutions aimed at steering state curricula toward a more pan-European perspective has corrected some nationalist excesses but substituted them with an equally exclusivist discourse on the continental identity. This narrative identifies Ancient Greece and Rome, Medieval Christianity, the Renaissance, the Enlightenment and the Industrial Revolution as the building blocks of European arts, science, politics, economy and law, paying little attention to the ways in which all of these were affected by external influences (Coulby, 1995, 2000).

While the specific events and the relative salience of their national and European dimensions may vary from one subject or state to the next, no official curriculum seems to escape the lure of ethnocentrism (Schissler & Soysal, 2005; Faas, 2011). Biases can be transmitted in textbooks through a number of explicit and more subtle means, such as the division of instructional units, the amount of space devoted to each topic, the proportional representation of different racial and ethnic categories, the roles in which they are depicted and the adjectives used to describe them (Portera, 2004; Sleeter & Grant, 1991; Bennett, 2001). Taken together, they contribute to the exclusion of ethnic minorities from national and European prototypes (Wenzel et al., 2007) and impede the creation of superordinate common identities (Gaertner & Dovidio, 2000).

At school and classroom level, official knowledge is mediated by teaching strategies, which hold the potential of counteracting textbook biases through critical commentaries and additional materials conveying minority perspectives (Freire, 2000; Ladson-Billings, 1995; May, 1999; Grant & Sleeter, 2007; Kincheloe, 2008; Orlowski, 2012). However, standardised evaluations and efficiency concerns provide strong incentives for teachers to adhere closely, and sometimes exclusively, to the prescribed contents (Maroy, 2009; Crawford & Foster, 2006; Baquès, 2006). To the extent that they complement them with personal knowledge and judgments, they are more likely than not to perpetuate rather than undermine existing preconceptions (Sunier, 2004; Mannitz, 2004). A key reason for this is that, as far as the limited data available can show, ethnic minorities are heavily underrepresented in the teaching force (Driessen, 2015; Little, 2010). Social identity theory predicts, and ethnographic research confirms, that (often unacknowledged) racist assumptions may permeate White teachers' interactions with pupils through differential expectations, evaluations and sanctions. Anti-racist and multicultural issues, unevenly addressed in teacher training programmes, are typically seen as a superfluous distraction from more important subjects or reframed in terms of cultural deficit; racist incidents are overlooked or

dismissed as harmless. Overall, teachers tend to agree with the worldview conveyed in the official curriculum, which both validates their own and enhances their status (Lander, 2011; Mansikka & Holm, 2011; Hajisoteriou, 2012; Jarkovská et al., 2015; Crozier et al., 2008; Rosvall & Öhrn, 2014; for US evidence, see McGrady & Reynolds, 2013; Picower, 2009; Devine, 2005; Cammarota, 2014). Students whose personal experiences or family histories are left out of the official narrative often lose interest in the related subjects and seldom aspire to teach them as adults (Grever et al., 2008; Harris & Reynolds, 2014; Hawkey & Prior, 2011; Bariso, 2001).

Independently of actual attitudes or behaviours, homogeneous teaching staffs inscribe European Whiteness as an implicit school or classroom norm. According to developmental intergroup theory (Bigler & Liben, 2007), children identify meaningful criteria for social categorization and make stereotypical inferences based on the composition of their social environment, including correlations between ethnicity and the performance of specific roles in various spheres. Because of their high status, teachers provide easily accessible cues for normative group behaviour and characteristics (Hogg & Reid, 2005; Jugert et al., 2011). In turn, pupils who are seen as deviating from the norm become the target of derogation (Marques & Paez, 1994), especially in competitive contexts that increase the salience of group identity and expectations of conformism (Abrams and Rutland, 2008).

Inter-school pupil segregation exacerbates all the above mentioned drivers of racism, as well as creating new ones. PISA surveys reveal that natives attend schools with an average proportion of foreign-origin students below 10% in virtually all EU states, but that this share multiplies two to five-fold for the latter (OECD, 2010: 36). Moreover, immigrants cluster in working-class establishments (OECD, 2013a: 83). Part of this uneven distribution can be explained by residential patterns, although it is unclear how much neighbourhood segregation can itself be traced back to parents' search for homogeneous school districts (OECD, 2010: 82; Van Zanten & Ball, 2003). More recently, market-based policies increasing parental choice, school autonomy and private ownership have provided incentives for race and class-based specialisation, probably leading to greater within-school and between-school segregation (Van Zanten, 2012; but see Alegre & Ferrer, 2010). Whereas upper-class schools adopt an international orientation, focus on achievement and exclude troublemakers, middle-class ones strive to remain attractive by offering special options, multiplying promotional activities and isolating minority students in technical or professional tracks. At the bottom of the hierarchy, "humanitarian" schools adapt to their disadvantaged and racialised intake by developing a strong egalitarian ethos and services for students with learning difficulties (Van Zanten, 2009; Ball & Maroy, 2008). Because of the

frequent correlation between ethnicity and religion, confessional schools provide an additional source of segregation, especially where they are allowed to select their students according to their beliefs or lifestyle (Maussen & Bader, 2015).

Since teachers and principals tend to align their practices with the preferences of the population they serve, White schools and classrooms are less likely than multi-ethnic ones to stress minority rights, enforce strict sanctions against racist behaviour and present counter-hegemonic perspectives on historical, artistic and social issues (Gaine, 2000; Milner, 2005, Allemann-Ghionda, 2008: 40; Pratas, 2010; Garreta Bochaca, 2006; Aguado & Malik, 2011). They also provide a fertile ground for overt or covert discrimination in staff recruitment and management, strengthening the personnel's homogeneity (Mirza & Meetoo, 2012; Bariso, 2001). Perhaps more importantly, they greatly reduce the opportunity for White children's interaction and friendship with minority peers (Bagci et al., 2014). Available evidence suggests that cooperative contact can contain and even reverse the rise of prejudiced attitudes between middle childhood and adolescence (Raabe & Beelmann, 2011), especially when it is endorsed by egalitarian school norms (Tropp & Prenovost, 2008). This condition appears more likely to obtain in older immigration countries, whereas new ones evince no significant relationship between the share of foreign-origin students in classrooms and pupils' inclusive attitudes (Janmaat, 2014).

3. Learning from mistakes

At the level of official policy, several European states and international organisations have recognised the need to promote interethnic tolerance or dialogue through various forms of multi/intercultural education (Grant & Portera, 2012; Faas et al., 2014). While the scope and implementation of these initiatives depends on the local and national context (Qureshi & Janmaat, 2014), a growing number of policy statements conceive biased curricula, homogeneous teaching bodies and segregated students as sources of racism that should be addressed in one way or another (Szalai et al., 2009; Maussen & Bader, 2012). However, past research (mainly conducted in the US) suggests that some of the well-meaning remedies that are currently being tried out might ultimately prove ineffective or even counter-productive.

Where schools have received incentives for the implementation of anti-racist programs, one of the most popular strategies has been to develop specific didactic units or activities exposing children to minority traditions, experiences and achievements, on the premise that better and more positive knowledge about minority groups can displace negative stereotypes. Textbooks, literature, music, food, pictures

and films have been put to this purpose in primary and secondary-level classes of citizenship education (Eurydice, 2005), world religions (Pépin, 2009; Santoro, 2008; Albert, 2010; Jackson, 2014) and history (Zajda, 2015; Hawkey & Prior, 2011), among others. Unfortunately, the desired effects often fail to materialise, for a number of reasons. First of all, the limited class time devoted to these additive approaches (Banks, 2004) cannot counterbalance the considerably greater amount of stereotype-consistent information transmitted by the curriculum. Moreover, very young or highly prejudiced children typically forget most counter-stereotypical information or use it to create new subtypes (say, Black scientists) that leave the prototype of the general category (Black people) intact. As a result, activities that convey a mix of stereotypical and counter-stereotypical information may actually lead to an increase in prejudice, as the latter is discarded in favour of the former (Bigler, 1999; Aboud & Levy, 2000; Pfeifer et al., 2007; Paluck & Green, 2009; Walton et al., 2013; Aboud et al., 2012).

Despite their laudable goals, curriculum planners and teachers may also fall in the trap of benign prejudice, transmitting positive stereotypes that validate rather than undermine prevailing conceptions. Recent research has shown that most prejudices are not uniformly negative but rather ambivalent, focusing either on the warmth or the competence of a given social category: whereas powerful outgroups tend to be seen as cold but skilled, subordinated ones elicit perceptions of warmth and ineptitude (Fiske et al., 2002). To the extent that such images have entered common sense, education professionals will be tempted to depict, for instance, economically successful minorities as hardworking and struggling ones as sociable (Portera, 2004; Harris & Clarke, 2011; Archer & Francis, 2005; Ng et al., 2007). Of course, such discourses do little to alter feelings of envy toward the former and pity toward the latter (Cuddy et al., 2007). Moreover, all stereotypical representations ultimately accentuate the perception of intra-group homogeneity and inter-group difference, the basic cognitive process that should be superseded in order to prevent the development of discriminatory attitudes (Brewer, 1997; Levy, 1999; Rattan & Ambady, 2013).

Similarly, classroom lessons and debates aimed at tackling racial injustice can easily be subverted by the rearticulation of implicitly racist discourses (Gorski, 2008, 2006). Such discourses might state, for instance, that racism is an exceptional phenomenon affecting a limited number of ignorant or deluded individuals; that it expresses itself exclusively in overt name-calling or aggression; that it is a direct product of migration and (problematic) cultural differences; that it is actively combated, rather than reproduced, by public institutions; and that it can effectively be eliminated by providing people with facts and moral guidance (Bryan, 2012). At the same time, teachers' willingness to prevent inappropriate comments and overheated reactions can

inhibit the establishment of an open dialogue where opposing viewpoints can be expressed and scrutinised (Radstake & Leeman, 2010; Modica, 2015; Rivière, 2008).

The shortcomings of curriculum reform have spurred some minority parents to look for more adequate educational offerings, either in the abovementioned “humanitarian” public schools or in privately managed and often religious establishments (Denessen et al., 2005; Weekes-Bernard, 2007). This poses a challenge to states’ overtly integrationist policies and raises moral and legal dilemmas in terms of weighing individual autonomy against long-term social equality (Bader, 2007; Merry, 2013). Depending of the social context and the structure of local, regional and national policy arenas, a variety of contradictory responses emerge. On the one hand, educational authorities are overcoming their traditional reluctance to license Muslim and other minority religious schools, breaking a long-standing Judeo-Christian monopoly on the religious education market. Combined with the long-term trend toward near-equal public funding of faith schools and parents’ strategic use of religious identity as a proxy for ethnicity, this ensures the enduring popularity of religious schools in otherwise highly secularised societies (Dronkers & Avram, 2015; Maussen & Bader, 2015; Merry, 2015). Targeted funding for “humanitarian” public schools, theoretically designed to improve the quality of their teaching and infrastructure, can add incentives for segregation in the form of specialised services for newcomers, bilingual instruction and minority teaching assistants (Eurydice, 2014: 36-37; OECD, 2010; Bénabou et al., 2009: 352).

On the other hand, political concerns about ethnic isolation and religious radicalisation trigger sporadic attempts to counteract these structural forces through imposed mixing schemes. These may involve bussing minority students to White schools or, conversely, restricting exemptions to district-based allotment, merging majority and minority districts, closing segregated schools and opening new ones in more diverse areas or setting an upper limit on the proportion of minority pupils enrolled in a given public school, allegedly below the “tipping point” of White flight (Bakker et al., 2011). While such initiatives hold the promise of rebalancing the distribution of students in public schools, they often fail to deliver their intended outcomes. One of the main reasons is that, thanks to the thriving private education market and loopholes in residence-based allotment mechanisms, White parents can usually shun multi-ethnic public schools without having to undertake a costly change of domicile (Van Zanten, 2011; Oberti & Rivière, 2014). Alternatively, they can mobilise in favour of internal re-segregation or a reversal of mixing policies. Since minorities typically bear a disproportionate cost of mandatory desegregation in the form of longer

travel times and job losses, they sometimes join White opponents or remain divided on this issue (Schofield & Hausmann, 2004).

Even when a degree of diversification takes place, both majority and minority children tend to show a preference for same-ethnic friends, especially when their in-group condones such discrimination and when neighbourhoods remain segregated (Quintana, 2007; Vermeij et al., 2009). Equal-status interactions are also hampered by the persisting “whiteness” of the curriculum and staff (Schofield, 2004: 802), which exposes minorities to the aforementioned “black sheep effect”. In particular, their relative powerlessness makes them vulnerable to various types of racist victimisation (Graham, 2006; Juvonen et al., 2014; Thijs et al., 2014), including name-calling, harassment, physical attacks, as well as more subtle (and often gendered) forms of depreciation and exclusion (Duncan, 2002; Hällgren, 2005; Devine et al., 2008; Due & Riggs, 2009; Phoenix, 2009; Pagani et al., 2011; Cammarota & Aguilera, 2012; Kohli & Solórzano, 2012; Ipsa-Landa, 2013). Increasing the number of minority students offers a higher degree of peer protection but can be perceived by natives as a threat to their privileged position, which translates into more in-group preference (Smith, 2015; Stefanek et al., 2015). In the worst cases, mixing schemes that lack broad support from the community provide a breeding ground for pervasive neighbourhood conflict and acts of violence (Miah, 2012). Since the net effect of contact on attitudes depends on the relative amount of positive and negative interactions, dysfunctional schools can actually lead to an intensification of ethnic hostility (Thijs & Verkuyten, 2013).

Similar problems arise when ad hoc attempts are made to diversify the teaching force, usually on the rationale that minority teachers will act as role models or buffers against systemic racism (Driessen, 2015; Villegas & Irvine, 2010). While the latter effect may sometimes materialise, as the above discussion and some empirical studies suggest (Quicho & Rios, 2000; Kohli, 2014; Hickling-Hudson, 2011; Salinas & Castro, 2010; Sensoy, 2007; Carr & Klassen, 1996), it comes at a high cost. Targeted with suspicions of inferior qualification or inappropriate cultural practices, ethnic tokens often face distrustful colleagues and parents, irreverent students, limited administrative support and restricted prospects of advancement (Lee, 2013; Nguyen, 2012; Schmidt, 2010; Subedi, 2008; Castaneda et al., 2006; Maboлека & Madsen, 2003; Haynes, 2003; Quicho & Rios, 2000). They also risk being pigeonholed into the role of “minority experts” in charge of all ethnicity-related school issues, diminishing the time available for other activities and relieving the rest of the personnel from the burden of developing culturally responsive practices (Santoro, 2013; Brown, 2012; Rezai-Rashti & Martino, 2010; Maylor, 2009; Kelly, 2007). As early as teacher training courses, expected hardships push many to segregated minority schools or alternative careers

(Brooks, 2014; Carrington & Skelton, 2003; Carrington et al., 2000; Basit, 2007). White teachers in predominantly non-white schools can also become ostracised as unprepared or insensitive by their colleagues and students, even when efforts are made to uphold strict taboos on racial discourses (Buehler, 2013).

4. Looking for answers

The fundamental flaw shared by many anti-racist educational policies is that they are implemented on top of existing sets of racist rules and practices, placing on isolated schools, programmes and individuals the burden of counteracting vastly superior, ongoing structural forces. A more promising approach would consist in modifying the underlying institutions that create the conditions for the development of childhood racism, building on the insights of critical theorists and the experience of innovative educational authorities. Such reforms should start by correcting the biased knowledge teachers are currently entrusted to impart, before moving on to increase the number of minority professionals within their ranks and ensure that (especially White) students are offered sufficient opportunities to meet and befriend ethnically diverse peers.

In many European states, textbooks are regularly screened in order to remove blatant stereotypes (Fuchs, 2011). Educational authorities have also undertaken to complement national, European and regional themes with global issues and perspectives (Soysal & Wong, 2007; Buckner, 2013), in line with a worldwide educational ideology emphasising individual development, economic efficiency, democracy and human rights (Fiala, 2007; Meyer et al., 2007; Moutsios, 2009). While this evolution stops short of evacuating the racist assumptions that have shaped contemporary understandings of history, citizenship, religion and the arts (see e.g. Maylor, 2010; Faas & Ross, 2012; Engel & Ortloff, 2009), the partial rescaling of the “politics of knowledge” to supra-national arenas (Soysal & Schissler, 2005) does hold the promise of increasing pupils’ appreciation of previously neglected Asian, African and Native American viewpoints (Ramirez et al., 2009). Judging by the Council of Europe’s experience in promoting international exchanges and coordination among educational stakeholders (Low-Beer, 1997; Council of Europe, 1995) and similar initiatives currently led by UNESCO (Pingel, 2010), this would not entail much reduction in nationally specific knowledge but rather the replacement of “nationalist” by merely “national” curricula, where locally relevant topics would be framed by their global underpinnings and implications (Soysal & Wong, 2007; Lechner, 2007; Banks, 2008; Giroux, 2005). Previously obscured achievements would come to the fore, whereas some national heroes would lose their aura of moral superiority. National

indebtedness to foreign contributions would also be addressed, challenging colonial narratives of victimhood and helplessness (Council of Europe, 2008; UNESCO, 2006; OSCE, 2007). More problematically, the less palatable realities of enduring inequality and conflict might be downplayed for the sake of international trust building, thus trading mythical national narratives for utopian visions of an emerging world society (Meyer, 2007).

In addition to international cooperation, the inclusion of new actors and concerns in national-level processes of curriculum and textbook development could do much to transform prevailing representations. Despite much criticism of the so-called “culture wars” and other forms of identity politics, a greater politicisation of curricular content seems like a logical by-product of citizens’ interest in checking the misuses of institutionalised discourse (Lebow, 2008; Repoussi & Tutiaux-Guillon, 2010). In this sense, ethnic mobilisations against biased histories, religious exclusivism and literary canons should be encouraged and exploited through high-level consultation and representation (Dunne, 2003). Nation-wide standards, which restrict the autonomy traditionally enjoyed by some schools and teachers in content selection and assessment, have often been used to enforce assimilation and prevent ethnicised schools from questioning dominant perspectives (Stoltz, 2011; Moore, 2006; Sleeter, 2005). However, loosening elites’ stranglehold on the definition of legitimate knowledge would allow them to be harnessed in the service of critical pedagogy, by obliging reluctant (White) establishments to follow in the steps of their more diverse counterparts (Maylor & Read, 2007).

In addition to forging inclusive national identities, improving perceptions of ethnic warmth and competence and undermining race or culture-based categorization (see Stephan & Stephan, 2004 for a list of prejudice-reducing processes), a more even-handed curriculum may stimulate minority students’ willingness to enter the teaching profession, mitigating their paucity among trainees (see Osler, 1994; Ryan, 2009). Another positive feedback on teacher diversification would come from the anti-racist knowledge acquired by White trainees, who might develop more complex professional identities and offer less resistance to the incorporation of minority colleagues (Vavrus, 2002). Provided with a more welcoming environment and greater opportunities, minority teachers would become more likely to complete their training, find work and remain in the profession (Dieker et al., 2003). In turn, their increased representation in the educational field would induce incumbents to adapt their own practices and spur structural changes throughout the whole system, from management to pedagogy, discipline, assessment, dress codes and community relations (Johnson, 2003; Blair, 2002; Walker & Dimmock, 2005; Zirkel, 2008).

Admittedly, some bastions of whiteness might remain shielded from this virtuous cycle, especially if they are allowed to depart significantly from curricular guidelines or select their staff based on a vaguely defined religious ethos (Ward, 2008). In most cases, however, such loopholes could be closed through tighter regulation and/or incentives. Quality standards could once again provide a persuasive rationale for extending national curricula and examinations to all state-funded schools, including privately managed ones. To the privately funded, which could only cater to relatively affluent and/or reclusive communities, states would offer a choice between upholding somewhat less stringent criteria, consistent with the rights of the child, or renouncing official certification (for similar proposals, see Callan, 1997: 162-195; Gutmann, 1999: 64-70, 115-121; various chapters in McDonough & Feinberg, 2003). The resulting centralisation of curriculum-setting prerogatives would almost certainly trigger new culture wars on the national political scene (Moreno, 2007), but these could only strengthen the symbolic position of currently subordinated social categories (for a more sceptical assessment, see Apple, 2003). Ending religion-based recruitment might be less polemical, to the extent that the practice only persists in a few states under a legally questionable exception to existing prohibitions on employment discrimination (Bribosia & Rorive, 2010: 48 ff). Since covert biases are likely to linger long after their explicit forms have been banned (Devine, 1989; Greenwald & Banaji, 1995), a combination of financial penalties and rewards could prompt laggards to follow suit. Such measures should be sensitive to a variety of ethnic categories and to the specific position of minority employees, preventing their concentration at the bottom of the hierarchy (see European Commission, 2009, for a list of recommendations on the implementation of positive action schemes).

Mirroring the knock-on effect of curricular reform on teacher diversity, the transformation of all primary and secondary schools in multi-ethnic environments would encourage parents to pay greater attention to non-racial indicators of school adequacy, such as proximity, pedagogy, infrastructure, optional courses or extracurricular activities (OECD, 2012a: 136-137). To speed up this process, ethnicity-based positive action in staff recruitment could be extended to student enrolment, following the lead of several European states where resources are adjusted to pupils' socioeconomic, linguistic or ethnic background (European Commission, 2014: 37). The resulting opportunity structure would prod overwhelmingly White schools to provide racialised families with better information and services (OECD, 2012b: 70-79) and catalyse attempts to lure White families to racialised public schools (Peters and Muskens, 2011). It would also give a competitive edge to secular or genuinely ecumenical private

schools (see Murphy, 2005; Arthur, 2005), gradually undermining the predominance of their sectarian counterparts.

Where ethnicity closely correlates with class, as is the case in most Western cities, enrolment fees might still function as tools of racial exclusion and perversely allow White schools to make up for eventual financial penalties (OECD, 2012b: 66; Levin, 2013). For this reason, as well as to fight the inherent injustice of class segregation (Robertson & Dale, 2013), all state-funded schools should be banned from charging them (Levinson, 1999: 145). Fully independent private schools would still be left off the hook, but their residual presence would become an innocuous reminder of liberal limits to individual coercion in the name of the common good. Besides, they might ultimately prove keen to welcome wealthy fee-payers whatever their ethnicity or the colour of their skin.

5. Putting theory into practice

If a primary purpose of policy-oriented theorising is to clear the path for institutional change, as posited at the beginning of this paper, it seems fitting to conclude with an outline of the challenges and opportunities currently faced by anti-racist educational reformers, some of which have already been glanced over. These can be envisaged from at least three theoretical perspectives: public opinion, electoral politics and legal constraints.

Citizens' support for pluralist policies is generally predicted by their positive views toward cultural diversity and immigrants, as well as by their confidence in the perpetuation of their own cultural identity, to the extent that it is an inclusive one (Berry, 1997). In most Western societies, all of these conditions have been seriously undermined by negative media portrayals of immigration and its consequences (Blinder & Allen, 2016), leading to a backlash against multicultural ideology and many policies signalling migrant-friendliness (Vertovec & Wessendorf, 2010). At the same time, "interculturalism" and "integration" have consolidated themselves as conceptual and normative rallying points for the development of measures that had previously fallen under the rubric of multiculturalism (Kymlicka, 2012; Favell, 2003). This shift legitimises the reintroduction of traditionalist elements in the definition of national identities, including in the educational sphere, but it also highlights racial inequalities and conflicts and stresses the promotion of cross-ethnic interactions (Meer & Modood, 2012). Such a context provides a fertile ground for the rehabilitation of the "common school" ideal as a buffer against the atomising implications of neoliberal privatisation and the self-segregating impulses of White majority parents.

Where large-scale immigration is a recent phenomenon or strict citizenship regimes have hampered the naturalisation of ethnic minorities, progressive reforms are likely to be slowed down by their main beneficiaries' lack of political power (Huddleston & Vink, 2013). Even after these have acquired voting rights, their electoral clout is often diminished by low turnouts or limited access to political organisations and mainstream media outlets (Morales & Giugni, 2011). Thriving populist parties add a further challenge, skilfully exploiting (exclusive versions of) national identity as a rhetorical device against attempts to demythologise historical heroes, teach about previously ignored or despised religions and inject cosmopolitan values in citizenship classes (Apple, 2006; Ceyhan & Tsoukala, 2002; Koopmans et al., 2005). On the other hand, the stubborn reality of globalisation and its implications in terms of cultural mix are inexorably narrowing the political space for White supremacists (Joppke, 2010). The rise of the "new left" and its moral focus on the plight of discriminated social categories, from ethnic and sexual minorities to women, elders and the disabled (Fraser, 1995), also holds the potential of catalysing broad-based alliances in support of equity policies.

Last but not least, the international development of anti-discrimination law, best exemplified by a series of equality directives adopted by the European Union at the turn of the millennium (Fredman, 2011; Bamforth et al., 2008), is currently putting pressure on the remaining political and legal obstacles to the implementation of proactive anti-racist initiatives. Privacy laws are being fine-tuned in order to enable the large-scale collection of ethnic data, a vital precondition for the identification of systemic discrimination and the formulation of effective remedies (Makkonen, 2007; Bribosia y Rorive, 2010). Non-discrimination requirements are being reinterpreted in a more context-sensitive manner, opening the door to positive action in public employment and procurement (De Vos, 2007; Uyen Do, 2013). In the European Court of Human Rights, school segregation has been found to fall foul of international standards on the right to education in a string of cases concerning the Roma (Broderick, 2015), setting a powerful precedent for similarly situated ethnic minorities (Alpert, 2014). However, considerable challenges remain in the adaptation of human rights law to culturally diverse and racially stratified European societies (Solanes, 2013). Recent setbacks in minorities' right to manifest their religious identity in the educational sphere (Bosset, 2013), as well as in the disestablishment of majority religions (Ronchi, 2011), have thrown into sharp relief the law's deep embeddedness in the very social context it is sometimes called upon to transform.

Acknowledgments

This paper was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Education under Grant FPU-12/00482 and by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness under Grants DER2012-31771 and DER2015-65840-R. I would like to thank Bridget Anderson, Caroline Oliver and Ben Gidley for nudging me toward fruitful perspectives and sources at the beginning of my research as a COMPAS visiting academic. My reflection also benefited from discussions during the II International conference on cultural diversity and conflicts in the EU (Paris Sorbonne University, 15-16 October 2015) and the Conference on diversity and the dynamics of pluralism (University of Montreal, 16-18 March 2016). None of this would have been possible without the critical thinking promoted by Valencia University's Human Rights Institute and especially Ángeles Solanes' guidance, encouragements and unflagging trust.

References

- About, Frances E. and Sheri R. Levy (2000), "Interventions to reduce prejudice and discrimination in children and adolescents", in Stuart Oskamp (ed.), *Reducing prejudice and discrimination*, Mahwah, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- About, Frances E., Colin Tredoux, Linda R. Tropp, Christia Spears Brown, Ulrike Niens and Noraini M. Noor (2012), "Interventions to reduce prejudice and enhance inclusion and respect for ethnic differences in early childhood: A systematic review", *Developmental Review* 32, 307-336.
- Abrams, Dominic and Adam Rutland (2008), "The development of subjective group dynamics", in Sheri R. Levy and Melanie Killen (eds.), *Intergroup attitudes and relations in childhood through adulthood*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 47-65.
- Aguado, Teresa and Beatriz Malik (2011), "Multicultural and intercultural education in Spain", in Carl A. Grant and Agostino Portera (eds.), *Intercultural and multicultural education: enhancing global interconnectedness*, London, Routledge, 279-293.
- Alberts, Wanda (2010), "The academic study of religions and integrative religious education in Europe", *British Journal of Religious Education* 32:3, 275-290.
- Alegre, Miquel Àngel and Gerard Ferrer (2010), "School regimes and education equity: some insights based on PISA 2006", *British Educational Research Journal* 36:3, 433-461.
- Allemann-Ghionda, Cristina (2008), *Intercultural education in schools; a comparative study*, Brussels, European Parliament.
- Alpert, Caroline (2014), "Common denominators: A proposal to counter international school segregation", *The George Washington International Law Review* 46, 877-910.
- Anderson, Benedict (2006/1983), *Imagined communities: reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism*, London, Verso.
- Anderson, Bridget (2013), *Us & Them? The Dangerous Politics of Immigration Control*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, Elizabeth (2010), *The imperative of integration*, Princeton, Princeton

University Press.

Anthias, Floya and Nira Yuval-Davis (1992), *Racialized boundaries: Race, nation, gender, colour and class and the anti-racist struggle*, London, Routledge.

Apple, Michael W. (2003), *The state and the politics of knowledge*, New York, RoutledgeFalmer.

Apple, Michael W. (2006), "Understanding and Interrupting Neoliberalism and Neoconservatism in Education", *Pedagogies: An International Journal* 1:1, 21-26.

Apple, Michael W. (2008), "Can schooling contribute to a more just society?", *Education, citizenship and social justice*, 3:3, 239-261.

Archer, Louise & Becky Francis (2005), "Constructions of racism by British Chinese pupils and parents", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 8:4, 387-407.

Arthur, James (2005), "Measuring Catholic school performance: an international perspective", in Roy Gardner, Jo Cairns and Denis Lawton (2005), *Faith schools: consensus or conflict?*, London, Routledge, 149-158.

Bader, Veit (2007), *Secularism or democracy? Associational governance of religious diversity*, Amsterdam, Amsterdam University Press.

Bagci, Sabahat C., Madoka Kumashiro, Peter K. Smith, Herbert Blumberg and Adam Rutland (2014), "Cross-ethnic friendships: Are they really rare? Evidence from secondary schools around London", *International Journal of Intercultural Relations* 41, 125-137.

Bakker, Joep, Eddie Denessen, Dorothee Peters and Guido Walraven (eds.) (2011), *International perspectives on countering school segregation*, Antwerp-Apeldoorn, Garant.

Balibar, Étienne and Immanuel Wallerstein (1991), *Race, nation, class: ambiguous identities*, London, Verso.

Ball, Stephen J. and Christian Maroy (2009), "School's logics of action as mediation and compromise between internal dynamics and external constraints and pressures", *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education* 39:1, 99-112.

Bamforth, Nicholas, Maleika Malik and Colm O'Connell (2008), *Discrimination Law: Theory and Context*, London, Sweet & Maxwell.

Banks, James A. (2004), "Multicultural education: historical development, dimensions, and practice", in James A. Banks & Cherry A. McGee Banks (eds.), *Handbook of research on multicultural education*, San Francisco, John Wiley & Sons, 3-29.

Banks, James A. (2008), "Diversity, group identity and citizenship education in a global age", *Educational Researcher*, 37:3, 129-139.

Baquès, Marie-Christine (2006), "History textbooks in France: between national institutions, publishers and teaching practice", in Jason Nicholls, *School history textbooks across cultures: international debates and perspectives*, Oxford, Symposium Books.

Bariso, Elfneh U. (2001), "Code of Professional Practice at Stake? Race, Representation and Professionalism in British Education", *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, 4:2, 167-184.

Barrett, Martyn (2007), "Children's knowledge, beliefs and feelings about nations and national groups", Hove, Psychology Press.

Basit, Tehmina N., Olwen McNamara, Lorna Roberts, Bruce Carrington, Meg Maguire and Derek Woodrow (2007) "The bar is slightly higher: the perception of racism in

- teacher education”, *Cambridge Journal of Education* 37:2, 279-298.
- Bénabou, Roland, Francis Kramarz and Corinne Prost (2009), “The French zones d’éducation prioritaire: Much ado about nothing?”, *Economics of Education Review* 28, 345-356.
- Bennett, Christine (2001), “Genres of Research in Multicultural Education”, *Review of Educational Research* 71:2, 171-217.
- Berry, John W. (1997), “Immigration, Acculturation, and Adaptation”, *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 46:1, 5-68.
- Bigler, Rebecca (1999), “The use of multicultural curricula and materials to counter racism in children”, *Journal of Social Issues*, 55:4, 687-705.
- Blair, Maud (2002), “Effective School Leadership: The multiethnic context”, *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 23:2, 179-191.
- Blinder, Scott and William L. Allen (2016), “Constructing immigrants: portrayals of migrant groups in British National Newspapers, 2010-2012”, *International Migration Review* 50:1, 3-40.
- Blinder, Scott (2015), “Imagined Immigration: The Impact of Different Meanings of ‘Immigrants’ in Public Opinion and Policy Debates in Britain”, *Political Studies*, 63, 80-100.
- Bloom, Pazit Ben-Nun, Gizem Arikan and Gallya Lahav (2015), “The effect of perceived cultural and material threats on ethnic preferences in immigration attitudes”, *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 38:10, 1760-1778.
- Bochaca, Jordi Garreta (2006), “Ethnic minorities and the Spanish and Catalan educational systems: From exclusion to intercultural education”, *International Journal of Intercultural Relations* 30, 261-279.
- Bosset, Pierre (2013), “Mainstreaming religious diversity in a secular and egalitarian State: The road(s) not taken in Leyla Sahin v. Turkey” in Eva Brems (ed.), *Diversity and European Human Rights: Rewriting Judgments of the ECHR*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 192-217.
- Brewer, Marilyn B. (1997), “The social psychology of intergroup relations: can research inform practice?”, *Journal of Social Issues* 53:1, 197-211.
- Bribosia, Emmanuelle and Isabelle Rorive (2010), *In search of a balance between the right to equality and other fundamental rights*, Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union.
- Broderick, Andrea (2015), “A reflection on substantive equality jurisprudence: the standard of scrutiny at the ECtHR for differential treatment of Roma and persons with disabilities”, *International Journal of Discrimination and the Law* 15:1-2, 101-122.
- Brooks, Melanie C. (2014), “How Does a Muslim Teacher Fit? One Teacher's Journey Into and Out of the American Public School System”, *Religion & Education* 41:1, 31-50.
- Brown, Anthony L. (2012), “On Human Kinds and Role Models: A Critical Discussion about the African American Male Teacher”, *Educational Studies: A Journal of the American Educational Studies Association* 48:3, 296-315.
- Buckner, Elizabeth and Susan Garnett Russell (2013), “Portraying the Global: Cross-national Trends in Textbooks’ Portrayal of Globalization and Global Citizenship”, *International Studies Quarterly* 57, 738–750.
- Buehler, Jennifer (2013), “There’s a problem, and we’ve got to face it’: how staff members wrestled with race in an urban high school”, *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 16:5, 629-652.

- Callan, Eamonn (1997), *Creating citizens: political education and liberal democracy*, Oxford, Clarendon Press.
- Cammarota, Julio (2014), "Misspoken in Arizona: Latina/o Students Document the Articulations of Racism", *Equity & Excellence in Education* 47:3, 321-333.
- Cammarota, Julio and Michelle Aguilera (2012), "By the time I get to Arizona': race, language, and education in America's racist state", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 15:4, 485-500.
- Carr, Paul R. and Thomas R. Klassen (1996), "The role of racial minority teachers in anti-racist education", *Canadian Ethnic Studies/Études ethniques au Canada* 28:2, 126-138.
- Carrington, Bruce and Christine Skelton (2003), "Re-thinking 'role models': equal opportunities in teacher recruitment in England and Wales", *Journal of Education Policy* 18:3, 253-265.
- Carrington, Bruce and Geoffrey Short (1995), "What Makes a Person British? Children's conceptions of their national culture and identity", *Educational Studies*, 21:2, 217-238.
- Carrington, Bruce, Alastair Bonnett, Anoop Nayak, Christine Skelton, Fay Smith, Richard Tomlin, Geoff Short and Jack Demaine (2000), "The Recruitment of New Teachers from Minority Ethnic Groups", *International Studies in Sociology of Education* 10:1, 3-22.
- Castaneda, Carmelita, John Kambutu and Francisco Rios (2006), "Speaking Their Truths: Teachers of Color in Diasporic Contexts", *The Rural Educator*, 27:3, 13-23.
- Ceyhan, Ayse and Anastassia Tsoukala (2002), "The securitisation of migration in Western societies: Ambivalent discourses and practices", *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, 27.
- Coulby, David (2000), *Beyond the National Curriculum: Curricular Centralism in Europe and the USA*, London, Routledge.
- Coulby, David and Crispin Jones (1995), *Postmodernity and European Education Systems: Cultural diversity and centralist knowledge*, Stoke-on-Trent, Trentham Books.
- Council of Europe (1995), *Against bias and prejudice: The Council of Europe's work on history teaching and history textbooks*, Council of Europe report CC-ED/HIST (95) 3 rev, Strasbourg.
- Council of Europe (2008), *White paper on intercultural dialogue "Living together as equals in dignity"*, Strasbourg.
- Crawford, Keith and Stuart Foster (2006), "The political economy of history textbook publishing in England" in Jason Nicholls (ed.), *School history textbooks across cultures: international debates and perspectives*, Oxford, Symposium Books.
- Crozier, Gill and Jane Davies (2008), "'The trouble is they don't mix': self-segregation or enforced exclusion?", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 11:3, 285-301.
- Cuddy, Amy J. C., Susan T. Fiske and Peter Glick (2007), "The BIAS map: behaviours from intergroup affect and stereotypes", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 92:4, 631-648.
- Curtis, Amber (2014), "Inclusive versus exclusive: A cross-national comparison of the effects of subnational, national, and supranational identity", *European Union Politics*, 15:4, 521-546.
- Dahinden, Janine, Kerstin Duemmler and Joëlle Moret (2014), "Disentangling Religious, Ethnic and Gendered Contents in Boundary Work: How Young Adults

Create the Figure of 'The Oppressed Muslim Woman'", *Journal of Intercultural Studies* 35:4, 329-348.

Davies, Kristin, Linda R. Tropp, Arthur Aron, Thomas F. Pettigrew and Stephen C. Wright (2011), "Cross-Group Friendships and Intergroup Attitudes: A Meta-Analytic Review", *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 15:4, 332–351.

De Figueiredo, Rui J. P. and Zachary Elkins (2003), "Are Patriots Bigots? An Inquiry into the Vices of In-Group Pride", *American Journal of Political Science*, 47:1, 171-188.

De Vos, Marc (2007), *Beyond formal equality: Positive action under Directives 2000/43/EC and 2000/78/EC*, Luxembourg, Office for official publications of the European Communities.

Delgado, Richard and Jean Stefancic (eds.) (2013), *Critical race theory: the cutting edge*, Philadelphia, Temple University Press.

Denessen, Eddie, Geert Driessena and Peter Slegers (2005), "Segregation by choice? A study of group-specific reasons for school choice", *Journal of Education Policy* 20:3, 347–368.

Devine, Dymna (2005), "Welcome to the Celtic Tiger? Teacher responses to immigration and increasing ethnic diversity in Irish schools", *International Studies in Sociology of Education* 15:1, 49-70.

Devine, Dymna, Mairin Kenny and Eileen Macneela (2008), "Naming the 'other': children's construction and experience of racisms in Irish primary schools", *Race Ethnicity and Education* 11:4, 369-385.

Devine, Patricia G. (1989), "Stereotypes and prejudice: their automatic and controlled components", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 56:1, 5-18.

Dieker, Lisa A. et al. (2003), "Voices from the Field: Teachers from Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Backgrounds Entering the Profession Through Alternative Certification", *Teacher Education and Special Education* 26:4, 328-340.

Driessen, Geert (2015), "Teacher ethnicity, student ethnicity, and student outcomes", *Intercultural Education* 26:3, 179-191.

Dronkers, Jaap and Silvia Avram (2015), "What can international comparisons teach us about school choice and non-governmental schools in Europe?", *Comparative Education* 51:1, 118-132.

Due, Clemence and Damien Riggs (2009), "Moving beyond English as a requirement to "fit in": considering refugee and migrant education in South Australia", *Refugee* 26:2, 55-64.

Duncan, Garrett Albert (2002), "Beyond Love: A Critical Race Ethnography of the Schooling of Adolescent Black Males", *Equity & Excellence in Education* 35:2, 131-143.

Dunne, Joseph (2003), "Between state and civil society: European contexts for education", *Citizenship and education in liberal-democratic societies: Teaching for cosmopolitan values and collective identities*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 96-120.

Enesco, Ileana, Alejandra Navarrob, Isabel Paradela and Silvia Guerrero (2005), "Stereotypes and beliefs about different ethnic groups in Spain. A study with Spanish and Latin American children living in Madrid", *Applied Developmental Psychology* 26, 638-659.

Engel, Laura C. and Debora Hinderliter Ortloff (2009), "From the local to the supranational: curriculum reform and the production of the ideal citizen in two federal systems, Germany and Spain", *Journal of Curriculum Studies* 41:2, 179-198.

European Commission (2009), *International perspectives on positive action measures: A comparative analysis in the European Union, Canada, the United States and South Africa*, Luxembourg, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

European Commission/EACEA/Eurydice (2014), *Financing Schools in Europe: Mechanisms, Methods and Criteria in Public Funding. Eurydice Report*, Luxembourg, Publications Office of the European Union.

Eurydice (2005), *Citizenship at school in Europe*, Brussels.

Faas, Daniel (2011), "The Nation, Europe, and Migration: A comparison of geography, history, and citizenship education curricula in Greece, Germany, and England", *Journal of Curriculum Studies* 43:4, 471-492.

Faas, Daniel and Wendy Ross (2012), "Identity, diversity and citizenship: A critical analysis of textbooks and curricula in Irish schools", *International Sociology* 27:4, 574-591.

Faas, Daniel, Christina Hajisoteriou and Panayiotis Angelides (2014), "Intercultural education in Europe: policies, practices and trends", *British Educational Research Journal*, 40:2, 300-318.

Favell, Adrian (2003), "Integration nations: the nation-state and research on immigrants in Western Europe" in Grete Brochmann (ed.) *Multicultural Challenge*, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 13-42.

Favell, Adrian (2001), "Philosophies of integration: Immigration and the idea of citizenship in France and Britain", Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan.

Feagin, Joe R. (2006), *Systemic racism: A theory of oppression*, New York, Routledge.

Fiala, Robert (2007), "Educational ideology and the school curriculum" in Aaron Benavot and Cecilia Brdslavsky (eds.), *School knowledge in comparative and historical perspective: changing curricula in primary and secondary education*, Dordrecht, Springer, 15-34.

Fiske, Susan, Amy J. C. Cuddy, Peter Glick and Jun Xu (2002), "A Model of (Often Mixed) Stereotype Content: Competence and Warmth Respectively Follow From Perceived Status and Competition", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82:6, 878-902.

Fraser, Nancy (1995), "From redistribution to recognition? Dilemmas of justice in a post-socialist age", *New Left Review* 212, 68-93.

Fredman, Sandra (2011), *Discrimination Law*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Fredrickson, George M. (2002), *Racism: A short history*, Princeton, Princeton University Press.

Freire, Paulo (2000/1970), *Pedagogy of the oppressed*, New York, Continuum.

Fuchs, Eckhardt (2011), "Current trends in history and social studies textbook research", *Journal of International Cooperation in Education* 14:2, 17-34.

Gaertner, Samuel L. and John F. Dovidio (2000), *Reducing Intergroup Bias: The Common Ingroup Identity Model*, Hove, Psychology Press.

Gaine, Chris (2000), "Anti-racist Education in 'White' Areas: The limits and possibilities of change", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 3:1, 65-81.

Gattino, Silvia and Stefano Tartaglia (2015), "The effect of television viewing on ethnic prejudice against immigrants: A study in the Italian context", *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 44, 46-52.

Gellner, Ernest (1983), *Nations and nationalism*, Oxford, Blackwell.

- Gilroy, Paul (2004), *After empire: melancholia or convivial culture?*, Abingdon, Routledge.
- Giroux, Henry A. (2005), *Border crossings: cultural workers and the politics of education*, Routledge, London.
- Gorski, Paul C. (2006), "Complicity with conservatism: the de-politicizing of multicultural and intercultural education", *Intercultural Education* 17:2, 163-177.
- Gorski, Paul C. (2008), "Good intentions are not enough: a decolonizing intercultural education", *Intercultural Education*, 19:6, 515-525.
- Graham, Sandra (2006), "Peer victimization in school: exploring the ethnic context", *Current directions in psychological science*, 15:6, 317-321.
- Grant, Carl A. and Agostino Portera (eds.), *Intercultural and multicultural education: enhancing global interconnectedness*, London, Routledge, 279-293.
- Grant, Carl A. and Christine E. Sleeter (2007), *Doing multicultural education for achievement and equity*, New York, Routledge.
- Greenwald, Anthony G. and Mahzarin R. Banaji (1995), "Implicit social cognition: attitudes, self-esteem and stereotypes", *Psychological Review* 102:1, 4-27.
- Grever, Maria, Terry Haydn and Kees Ribbens (2008), "Identity and school history: the perspective of young people from the Netherlands and England", *British Journal of Educational Studies*, 56:1, 76–94.
- Guiraudon, Virginie (2009), "Equality in the making: implementing European nondiscrimination law", *Citizenship Studies* 13:5, 527-549.
- Hajisoteriou, Christina (2012), "Intercultural education set forward: operational strategies and procedures in Cypriot classrooms", *Intercultural Education* 23:2, 133-146.
- Hällgren, Camilla (2005), "'Working harder to be the same': everyday racism among young men and women in Sweden", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 8:3, 319-342.
- Harris, Richard and Gill Clarke (2011), "Embracing diversity in the history curriculum: a study of the challenges facing trainee teachers", *Cambridge Journal of Education* 41:2, 159-175.
- Harris, Richard & Rosemary Reynolds (2014), "The history curriculum and its personal connection to students from minority ethnic backgrounds", *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 46:4, 464-486.
- Hawkey, Kate & Jayne Prior (2011), "History, memory cultures and meaning in the classroom", *Journal of Curriculum Studies* 43:2, 231-247.
- Haynes, Michelle (2003), "Vive la Différance : Jewish women teachers' constructions of ethnicity and identity and their experiences of anti-Semitism in secondary schools", *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 6:1, 51-70.
- Hickling-Hudson, Anne (2011), "Teaching to disrupt preconceptions: education for social justice in the imperial aftermath", *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education*, 41:4, 453-465.
- Hjerm, Mikael (2004), "Defending liberal nationalism – at what cost?", *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 30:1, 41-57.
- Hobsbawn, Eric (1990), *Nations and nationalism since 1780: Programme, myth, reality*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Hogg, Michael A. and Scott A. Reid (2006), "Social identity, self-categorization and the communication of group norms", *Communication theory* 16, 7-30.

Huddleston, Thomas and Maarten Peter Vink (2013), "Membership and/or rights? Analysing the link between naturalisation and integration policies for immigrants in Europe", RSCAS Policy paper 2013/15, European University Institute, San Domenico di Fiesole.

Huddleston, Thomas, Bilgili, Özge, Joki, Anne-Linde and Vankova, Zvezda (2015), *Migrant Integration Policy Index 2015*, Barcelona/Brussels, CIDOB/Migration Policy Group.

Igartua et al. (2011), "Cognitive, Attitudinal, and Emotional Effects of News Frame and Group Cues, on Processing News About Immigration", *Journal of Media Psychology* 23:4, 174-185.

Ispa-Landa, Simone (2013), "Gender, Race, and Justifications for Group Exclusion: Urban Black Students Bussed to Affluent Suburban Schools", *Sociology of Education* 86:3, 218-233.

Jackson, Robert (2003), "Should the State Fund Faith Based Schools? A Review of the Arguments", *British Journal of Religious Education* 25:2, 89-102.

Jackson, Robert (2014), "The development and dissemination of Council of Europe policy on education about religions and non-religious convictions", *Journal of Beliefs & Values: Studies in Religion & Education*, 35:2, 133-143.

Janmaat, Jan Germen (2014), "Do Ethnically Mixed Classrooms Promote Inclusive Attitudes Towards Immigrants Everywhere? A Study Among Native Adolescents in 14 Countries", *European Sociological Review* 30:6, 810-822.

Jarkovská, Lucie, Kateřina Lišková and Jana Obrovská (2015), "'We treat them all the same, but...'. Disappearing ethnic homogeneity in Czech classrooms and teachers' responses", *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 18:5, 632-654.

Johnson, Laurie Shepherd (2003), "The Diversity Imperative: Building a culturally responsive school ethos", *Intercultural Education* 14:1, 17-30.

Joppke, Christian (1999), "How immigration is changing citizenship: a comparative view", *Ethnic and Racial Studies* 22:4, 629-652.

Joppke, Christian (2007), "Transformation of immigrant integration: Civic integration and antidiscrimination in the Netherlands, France and Germany", *World Politics* 59, 243-273.

Jugert, Philipp, Peter Noack, and Adam Rutland (2011), "Friendship preferences among German and Turkish preadolescents", *Child Development* 82, 812-829.

Juvonen, Jaana, Adrienne Nishina and Sandra Graham (2006), "Ethnic Diversity and Perceptions of Safety in Urban Middle Schools", *Psychological Science* 17:5, 393-400.

Kelly, Hilton (2007), "Racial Tokenism in the School Workplace: An Exploratory Study of Black Teachers in Overwhelmingly White Schools", *Educational Studies: A Journal of the American Educational Studies Association* 41:3, 230-254.

Kincheloe, Joe (2008), "Critical pedagogy and the knowledge wars of the twenty-first century", *International Journal of Critical Pedagogy* 1:1, 1-22.

Kohli, Rita (2014), "Unpacking internalized racism: teachers of color striving for racially just classrooms", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 17:3, 367-387.

Kohli, Rita and Daniel G. Solórzano (2012), "Teachers, please learn our names!: racial microaggressions and the K-12 classroom", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 15:4, 441-462.

Koopmans, Ruud, Paul Statham, Marco Giugni and Florence Passy (2005), *Contested citizenship: Immigration and cultural diversity in Europe*, Minnesota University Press,

Minneapolis.

Kymlicka, Will (2012), "Comment on Meer and Modood", *Journal of Intercultural Studies* 33:2, 211-216.

Ladson-Billings, Gloria (1995), "Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy", *American Educational Research Journal* 32:3, 465-491.

Lander, Vini (2011), "Race, culture and all that: an exploration of the perspectives of White secondary student teachers about race equality issues in their initial teacher education", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 14:3, 351-364.

Lebow, Richard Ned (2008), "The politics of history in comparative perspective", *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 617, 25-41.

Lechner, Frank J. (2007), "Redefining national identity: Dutch evidence on global patterns", *International Journal of Comparative Sociology* 48:4, 355-368.

Lee, Vera J. (2013), "Teachers of color creating and recreating identities in suburban schools", *The Qualitative Report* 18, 1-16.

Levin, Henry M., Ilja Cornelisz and Barbara Hanisch-Cerda (2013), "Does educational privatisation promote social justice?", *Oxford Review of Education* 39:4, 514-532.

Levy, Sheri L. (1999), "Reducing prejudice: lessons from social-cognitive factors underlying perceiver differences in prejudice", *Journal of Social Issues* 55:4, 745-765.

Little, Judith Warren (2010), "The teacher workforce and problems of educational equity", *Review of Research in Education* 34, 285-328.

Low-Beer, Ann (1997), *The Council of Europe and School History*, Council of Europe report CC-ED/HIST (98)47, Strasbourg.

Maboleka, Reitumetse Obakeng and Jean A. Madsen (2003), "Intergroup differences and their impact on African American teachers", *Urban Education* 38-6, 725-749.

Makkonen, Timo (2007), *Measuring discrimination – Data collection and EU equality law*, Luxembourg, Office for official publications of the European Communities,.

Mannitz, Sabine (2004), "Pupils' negotiation of cultural difference: identity management and discursive assimilation" in Werner Schiffauer et al. (eds.), *Civil enculturation: nation-state, schools and ethnic difference in four European Countries*, New York, Berghahn Books, 242-306.

Mansikka, Jan, Erik Holm and Gunilla Holm (2011), "Teaching minority students within minority schools: teachers' conceptions of multicultural education in Swedish – speaking schools in Finland", *Intercultural Education* 22:2, 133-144.

Maroy, Christian (2009), "Convergences and hybridization of educational policies around 'post-bureaucratic' models of regulation", *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education* 39:1, 71-84.

Marques, José M. and Dario Paez (1994), "The 'Black Sheep Effect': Social Categorization, Rejection of Ingroup Deviates, and Perception of Group Variability", *European Review of Social Psychology* 5:1, 37-68.

Maussen, Marcel and Veit Bader (2015), "Non-governmental religious schools in Europe: institutional opportunities, associational freedoms, and contemporary challenges", *Comparative Education* 51:1, 1-21.

Maussen, Marcel and Veit Bader (eds.) (2012), *Tolerance and cultural diversity in schools – Comparative report*, San Domenico di Fiesole, European University Institute, Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies,.

May, Stephen (ed.) (1999), *Critical multiculturalism: Rethinking multicultural and*

antiracist education, Falmer Press, London.

Maylor, Uvanney (2009), “They do not relate to Black people like us’: Black teachers as role models for Black pupils”, *Journal of Education Policy* 24:1, 1-21.

Maylor, Uvanney (2010), “Notions of diversity, British identities and citizenship belonging”, *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 13:2, 233-252.

Maylor, Uvanney and Barbara Read (2007), *Diversity and citizenship in the curriculum: research review*, Department for education and skills research report RR819, London.

McDonough, Kevin and Walter Feinberg (2003), *Citizenship and education in liberal-democratic societies: Teaching for cosmopolitan values and collective identities*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.

Mcglathlin, Heidi, Christina Edmonds and Melanie Killen (2007), “Children’s and Adolescents’ Decision-Making about Intergroup Peer Relationships” in Stephen M. Quintana and Clark McKown (eds.), *Handbook of race, racism and the developing child*, New Jersey, John Wiley & Sons, 424-451.

McGrady, Patrick B. and John R. Reynolds (2013), “Racial mismatch in the classroom: beyond Black-White differences”, *Sociology of Education* 86:1, 3-17.

Meer, Nasar and Tariq Modood (2012), “How does Interculturalism Contrast with Multiculturalism?”, *Journal of Intercultural Studies* 33:2, 175-196.

Merry, Michael S. (2013), *Equality, citizenship and segregation: a defense of separation*, New York, Palgrave Macmillan.

Merry, Michael S. (2015), “The conundrum of religious schools in twenty-first-century Europe”, *Comparative Education* 51:1, 133-156.

Meyer, John W. (2007), “World models, national curricula, and the centrality of the individual” in Aaron Benavot and Cecilia Brdslavsky (eds.), *School knowledge in comparative and historical perspective: changing curricula in primary and secondary education*, Dordrecht, Springer, 259-272.

Meyer, John W., Patricia Bromley, and Francisco O. Ramirez (2010), “Human Rights in Social Science Textbooks: Cross-national Analyses, 1970–2008”, *Sociology of Education* 83:2, 111-134.

Miah, Shamim (2012), “School desegregation and the politics of forced integration”, *Race & Class* 54:2, 26-38.

Milner, H. Richard (2005), “Developing a Multicultural Curriculum in a Predominantly White Teaching Context: Lessons From an African American Teacher in a Suburban English Classroom”, *Curriculum Inquiry* 35:4, 391-427.

Mirza, Heidi Safia and Veena Meeto (2012), *Respecting difference: race, faith and culture for teacher educators*, Institute of Education, University of London.

Modica, Marianne (2015), “Unpacking the ‘colorblind approach’: accusations of racism at a friendly, mixed-race school”, *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 18:3, 396-418.

Modood, Tariq (2007), *Multiculturalism: a civic idea*, Cambridge, Polity Press.

Moore, Alex (2006), “Curriculum as culture: entitlement, bias and the Bourdieusean arbitrary” in Alex Moore (ed.), *Schooling, society and curriculum*, London, Routledge, 87-99.

Morales, Laura and Marco Giugni (eds.) (2011), *Social capital, political participation and migration in Europe: Making multicultural democracy work?*, Basingstoke, Palgrave MacMillan.

Moreno, Juan Manuel (2007), “The dynamics of curriculum design and development:

- scenarios for curriculum evolution”, in Aaron Benavot and Cecilia Brdslavsky (eds.), *School knowledge in comparative and historical perspective: changing curricula in primary and secondary education*, Springer, Dordrecht, 195-210.
- Moutsios, Stavros (2009), “International organisations and transnational education policy”, *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education* 39:4, 469-481.
- Murphy, Alan J. (2005), “Learning together: the case for joint church schools”, in Roy Gardner, Jo Cairns and Denis Lawton (2005), *Faith schools: consensus or conflict?*, London, Routledge, 113-122.
- Ng, Jennifer C., Sharon S. Lee and Yoon K. Pak (2007), “Contesting the model minority and perpetual foreigner stereotypes: a critical review of literature on Asian Americans in Education”, *Review of research in education* 31, 95-130.
- Nguyen, Huong Tran (2012), “What role do race, ethnicity, language and gender play in the teaching profession?”, *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 15:5, 653-681.
- Oberti, Marco and Clément Rivière (2014), “Les effets imprévus de l’assouplissement de la carte scolaire: une perception accrue des inégalités scolaires et urbaines”, *Politix* 107, 219-241.
- OECD (2010), *Closing the gap for immigrant students: policies, practice and performance*, Paris.
- OECD (2012), *Equity and Quality in Education: Supporting Disadvantaged Students and Schools*, Paris.
- OECD (2013a), *PISA 2012 Results: Excellence Through Equity: Giving Every Student the Chance to Succeed (Volume II)*, PISA, Paris.
- OECD (2013b), *PISA 2012 Results: What Makes Schools Successful? Resources, Policies and Practices (Volume IV)*, PISA, Paris.
- Omi, Michael and Howard Winant (2014), *Racial formation in the United States*, New York, Routledge.
- Oppenheimer, Louis (2006), “The Development of Enemy Images: A Theoretical Contribution”, *Peace and Conflict: Journal of Peace Psychology* 12:3, 269-292.
- Orlowski, Paul (2011), *Teaching about hegemony: race, class and democracy in the 21st century*, London, Springer.
- OSCE (2007), *Toledo guiding principles on teaching about religions and beliefs in public schools*, ODIHR.
- Osler, Audrey (1994), “Education for democracy and equality: the experiences, values and attitudes of ethnic minority student teachers”, *European Journal of Intercultural studies* 5:1, 23-37.
- Pagani, Camilla, Francesco Robustelli and Cristina Martinelli (2011), “School, cultural diversity, multiculturalism, and contact”, *Intercultural Education* 22:4, 337-349.
- Paluck, Elizabeth Levy and Donald P. Green (2009), “Prejudice reduction: what works? A review and assessment of research and practice”, *Annual Review of Psychology* 60, 339–67.
- Parekh, Bikhu (2008), *A new politics of identity: political principles for an interdependent world*, Basingstoke, Palgrave.
- Pehrson, Samuel, Vivian L. Vignoles and Rupert Brown (2009), “National identification and anti-immigrant prejudice: individual and contextual effects of national definitions”, *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 72:1, 24–38.
- Pépin, Luce (2009), *Teaching about religions in European school systems: policy*

issues and trends, Network of European Foundations.

Peters, Dorothee and George Muskens (2011), "Ethnic school segregation exists: possibilities for counteracting measures", *The Open Education Journal* 4 (Suppl 2-M5), 158-163.

Pettigrew, Thomas F. (2008), "Future directions for intergroup contact theory and research", *International Journal of Intercultural Relations* 32, 187-199.

Pettigrew, Thomas F. and Meertens, R.W. (1995), "Subtle and blatant prejudice in western Europe", *European Journal of Social Psychology* 25, 57-75.

Pettigrew, Thomas F., James S. Jackson, Jeanne Ben Brika, Gerard Lemaine, Roel W. Meertens, Ulrich Wagner and Andreas Zick (1997), "Outgroup Prejudice in Western Europe", *European Review of Social Psychology* 8:1, 241-273.

Pfeifer, Jennifer H., Christia Spears Brown and Jaana Juvonen (2007), "Teaching Tolerance in Schools: Lessons Learned Since Brown v. Board of Education About the Development and Reduction of Children's Prejudice", *Social Policy Report* 21:2, 3-24.

Philippou, Stavroula and Eleni Theodorou (2014), "The 'europeanisation' of othering: children using 'Europe' to construct 'others' in Cyprus", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 17:2, 264-290.

Phoenix, Ann (2009), "De-colonising practices: negotiating narratives from racialised and gendered experiences of education", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 12:1, 101-114.

Picower, Bree (2009), "The unexamined Whiteness of teaching: how White teachers maintain and enact dominant racial ideologies", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 12:2, 197-215.

Pingel, Falk (2010), *UNESCO guidebook on textbook research and textbook revision*, Paris/Braunschweig, UNESCO and Georg Eckert Institute for International Textbook Research,.

Portera, Agostino (2004), "Stereotypes, prejudices and intercultural education in Italy: Research on textbooks in primary schools", *Intercultural Education* 15:3, 283-294.

Pratas, Maria Helena (2010), "Interculturality and intercultural education in Portugal: recent developments", *Intercultural Education* 21:4, 317-327.

Quiocho, Alice and Francisco Rios (2000), "The power of their presence: minority group teachers and their schooling", *Review of Educational Research* 70:4, 485-528.

Qureshi, Yasmeen F. and Jan Germen Janmaat (2014), "Diverging or converging trends: an investigation of education policies concerning the incorporation of ethnic minority children in England, France and Germany", *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education* 44:5, 710-731.

Raabe, Tobias and Andreas Beelmann (2011), "Development of Ethnic, Racial, and National Prejudice in Childhood and Adolescence: A Multinational Meta-Analysis of Age Differences", *Child Development* 82:6, 1715-1737.

Raabe, Tobias and Andreas Beelmann (2011), "Development of Ethnic, Racial, and National Prejudice in Childhood and Adolescence: A Multinational Meta-Analysis of Age Differences", *Child Development*, 82:6, 1715-1737.

Radstake, Hester and Yvonne Leeman (2010), "Guiding discussions in the class about ethnic diversity", *Intercultural Education* 21:5, 429-442.

Ramirez, Francisco O., Patricia Bromley and Susan Garnett Russell (2009), "The valorization of humanity and diversity", *Multicultural education review* 1:1, 29-54.

Rattan, Aneeta and Nalini Ambady (2013), "Diversity ideologies and intergroup

- relations: an examination of colorblindness and multiculturalism”, *European Journal of Social Psychology* 43, 12–21.
- Raveaud, Maroussia and Agnes van Zanten (2007), “Choosing the local school: middle class parents' values and social and ethnic mix in London and Paris”, *Journal of Education Policy* 22:1, 107-124.
- Reicher, Steve and Nick Hopkins (2001), *Self and nation: categorization, contestation and mobilization*, London, Sage.
- Reiter, Bernd (2012), “Framing Non-Whites and Producing Second-Class Citizens in France and Portugal”, *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 38:7, 1067-1084.
- Repoussi, Maria and Nicole Tutiaux-Guillon (2010), “New trends in history textbook research: issues and methodologies toward a school historiography”, *Journal of educational media, memory, and society*, 2:1, 154-170.
- Rezai-Rashti, Goli M. and Wayne J. Martino (2010), “Black Male Teachers as Role Models: Resisting the Homogenizing Impulse of Gender and Racial Affiliation”, *American Educational Research Journal*, 47:1, 37–64.
- Rivière, Dominique (2008), “Whiteness in/and education”, *Race Ethnicity and Education* 11:4, 355-368.
- Robertson, Susan L. and Roger Dale (2013), “The social justice implications of privatisation in education governance frameworks: a relational account”, *Oxford Review of Education* 39:4, 426-445.
- Ronchi, Paolo (2011), “Crucifixes, Margin of Appreciation and Consensus: The Grand Chamber Ruling in Lautsi v Italy. Ecclesiastical Law Journal” 13, 287-297.
- Rosvall, Per-Åke and Elisabet Öhrn (2014), “Teachers' silences about racist attitudes and students' desires to address these attitudes”, *Intercultural Education* 25:5, 337-348.
- Ryan, James, Katina Pollock and Fab Antonelli (2009), “Teacher Diversity in Canada: Leaky Pipelines, Bottlenecks, and Glass Ceilings”, *Canadian Journal of Education* 32:3, 591-617.
- Salinas, Cinthia and Antonio J. Castro (2010), “Disrupting the Official Curriculum: Cultural Biography and the Curriculum Decision Making of Latino Preservice Teachers”, *Theory and Research in Social Education* 38:3, 428-463.
- Santoro, Ninetta (2013), “The drive to diversify the teaching profession: narrow assumptions, hidden complexities”, *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 1-19.
- Santoro, Simona (2008), “Toledo guiding principles on teaching about religions and beliefs in public schools: executive summary”, *Intercultural Education* 19:1, 83-87.
- Schissler, Hanna and Yasemin Nuhoglu Soysal (2005), *The Nation, Europe, and the World: Textbooks and Curricula in Transition*, New York, Bergahn Books.
- Schmidt, Clea (2010), “Systemic Discrimination as a Barrier for Immigrant Teachers”, *Diaspora, Indigenous, and Minority Education* 4:4, 235-252.
- Schmuck, Desirée and Jörg Matthes (2015), “How Anti-immigrant Right-wing Populist Advertisements Affect Young Voters: Symbolic Threats, Economic Threats and the Moderating Role of Education”, *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 41:10, 1577-1599.
- Schofield, Janet Ward (2004), “Fostering positive intergroup relations in schools” in James A. Banks and Cherry A. McGee Banks (eds.), *Handbook of research on multicultural education*, San Francisco, Wiley, 799-812.

- Schofield, Janet Ward and Leslie R. M. Hausmann (2004), "The conundrum of school desegregation: positive student outcomes and waning support", *University of Pittsburgh Law Review* 66, 83-111.
- Scourfield, Jonathan, Bella Dicks, Mark Drakeford and Andrew Davies (eds.) (2006), *Children, place and identity: Nation and locality in middle childhood*, London, Routledge.
- Semyonov, Moshe and Anya Glikman (2009), "Ethnic Residential Segregation, Social Contacts, and Anti-Minority Attitudes in European Societies", *European Sociological Review* 25:6, 693–708.
- Sensoy, Özlem (2007), "Pedagogical strategies for disrupting gendered Orientalism: mining the binary gap in teacher education", *Intercultural Education* 18:4, 361-365.
- Sleeter, Christine (2005), *Un-standardizing curriculum: Multicultural teaching in the standards-based classroom*, New York, Teachers College Press.
- Sleeter, Christine E. and Carl A. Grant (1991), "Race, class, gender and disability in current textbooks" in Michael W. Apple and Linda K. Christian-Smith (eds.), *The politics of the textbook*, New York, Routledge, 78-110.
- Smeeke, Anouk, Maykel Verkuyten, and Edwin Poppe (2012), "How a Tolerant Past Affects the Present: Historical Tolerance and the acceptance of Muslim Expressive Rights", *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 38:11, 1410-1422.
- Smith, Anthony (1991), *National identity*, London, Penguin Books.
- Smith, Sanne (2015), *Ethnic segregation in friendship networks: studies of its determinants in English, German, Dutch and Swedish school classes*, PhD thesis, University of Utrecht.
- Solomos, John (2003/1989), *Race and racism in Britain*, Basingstoke, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Soysal, Yasemin Nuhoglu and Hanna Schissler (2005), "Teaching beyond the national narrative", in Hanna Schissler and Yasemin Nuhoglu Soysal (eds.), *The Nation, Europe, and the World: Textbooks and Curricula in Transition*, New York, Bergahn Books, 1-9.
- Soysal, Yasemin Nuhoglu and Suk-Ying Wong (2007), "Educating future citizens in Europe and Asia", in Aaron Benavot and Cecilia Brdslavsky (eds.), *School knowledge in comparative and historical perspective: changing curricula in primary and secondary education*, Dordrecht, Springer, 73-88.
- Stefanek, Elisabeth, Dagmar Strohmeier and Rens van de Schoot (2015), "Individual and classroom predictors of same-cultural friendship preferences in multicultural schools", *International Journal of Behavioral Development* 39:3, 255-265.
- Stephan, Walter G. and Cookie White Stephan (2004), "Intergroup relations in multicultural education programs", in James A. Banks and Cherry A. McGee Banks (eds.), *Handbook of research on multicultural education*, San Francisco, John Wiley & Sons, 782-798.
- Stoltz, Pauline (2011), "Canons and communities: children and social cohesion in Sweden and the Netherlands", *Education, citizenship and social justice* 6:1, 39-52.
- Strabac, Zan, Ola Listhaug (2008), "Anti-Muslim prejudice in Europe: A multilevel analysis of survey data from 30 countries", *Social Science Research* 37, 268-286.
- Subedi, Binaya (2008), "Contesting racialization: Asian immigrant teachers', critiques and claims of teacher authenticity", *Race, Ethnicity and Education* 11:1, 57-70.
- Sunier, Thijl (2004), "Argumentative strategies" in Werner Schiffauer et al. (eds.), *Civil*

enculturation: nation-state, schools and ethnic difference in four European Countries, New York, Berghahn Books, 210-241.

Szalai, Julia, Marcus Carson, Zuzana Kusa, Eniko Magyari-Vincze and Viola Zentai (2009), *Comparative report on educational policies for inclusion*, Edumigrom comparative papers, Budapest, Center for policy studies, Central European University.

Thijs, Jochem and Maykel Verkuyten (2014), "School ethnic diversity and students' interethnic relations", *British Journal of Educational Psychology* 84, 1–21.

Thijs, Jochem, Maykel Verkuyten and Malin Grundel (2014), "Ethnic classroom composition and peer victimization: the moderating role of classroom attitudes", *Journal of Social Issues* 70:1, 134-150.

Tropp, Linda R. and Mary A. Prenovost (2008), "The role of intergroup contact in predicting children's interethnic attitudes: evidence from meta-analytic and field studies" in Sheri R. Levy and Melanie Killen (eds.), *Intergroup attitudes and relations in childhood through adulthood*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 236-248.

Troyna, Barry and Bruce Carrington (1990), *Education, racism and reform*, London, Routledge.

Troyna Barry and Richard Hatcher (1992), *Racism in children's lives: A study of mainly-white primary schools*, London, Routledge.

Troyna, Barry (1993), *Racism and education: research perspectives*, Buckingham, Open University Press.

Turper, Sedef, Shanto Iyengar, Kees Aarts and Minna van Gerven (2015), "Who is Less Welcome?: The Impact of Individuating Cues on Attitudes towards Immigrants", *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 41:2, 239-259.

UNESCO (2006), *UNESCO Guidelines on intercultural education*, Paris.

Uyen Do, Thien (2013), "In the face of diversity: public procurement to promote social objectives", *European Anti-Discrimination Law Review* 16, 11-23.

Van Zanten, Agnès (2009), "Competitive arenas and schools' logics of action: a European comparison", *Compare: A Journal of Comparative and International Education* 39:1, 85-98.

Van Zanten, Agnès (2011), "Compétition et choix dans le champs scolaire. Un modèle statutaire d'analyse des logiques institutionnelles et sociales", *Lien social et politique* 66, 179-196.

Van Zanten, Agnès (2012), "Les politiques de choix de l'école et leurs effets", in *Politique de la ville. Perspectives françaises et ouvertures internationales*, La Documentation française/Centre d'Analyse Stratégique, 111-130.

Vavrus, Michael (2002), *Improving the multicultural education of teachers: theory, research and practice*, London, Teachers College Press.

Vermeij, Lotte, Marijtje A.J. van Duijn and Chris Baerveldt (2009), "Ethnic segregation in context: Social discrimination among native Dutch pupils and their ethnic minority classmates", *Social Networks* 31, 230–239.

Vertovec, Steven and Susanne Wessendorf (2010), *The Multiculturalism Backlash: European discourses, policies and practices*, London, Routledge.

Villegas, Ana María and Jacqueline Jordan Irvine (2010), "Diversifying the Teaching Force: An Examination of Major Arguments", *Urban Review* 42, 175–192.

Von der Lippe, Marie (2011), "Young people's talk about religion and diversity: a qualitative study of Norwegian students aged 13–15", *British Journal of Religious*

Education 33:2, 127-142.

Walker, Allan and Clive Dimmock (2005), "Leading the multiethnic school: research evidence on successful practice", *The Educational Forum* 69, 291-304.

Walton, Jessica, Naomi Priest and Yin Paradies (2013), "Identifying and developing effective approaches to foster intercultural understanding in schools", *Intercultural Education* 24:3, 181-194.

Ward, Stephen (2008), "Religious control of schooling in England: diversity and division", *Intercultural Education* 19:4, 315-323.

Weekes-Bernard, Debbie (2007), *School choice and ethnic segregation: Educational decision-making among Black and minority ethnic parents*, London, Runnymede Trust.

Weldon, Steven A. (2006), "The Institutional Context of Tolerance for Ethnic Minorities: A Comparative, Multilevel Analysis of Western Europe", *American Journal of Political Science*, 50:2, 331-349.

Wenzel, Michael, Amélie Mummendey and Sven Waldzus (2007), "Superordinate identities and intergroup conflict: The ingroup projection model", *European Review of Social Psychology* 18, 331-372.

Wieviorka, Michel (1993), *Racisme et modernité*, Paris, La Découverte.

Wolff, Rick, Sabine Severiens and Marieke Meeuwisse (2010), "Attracting and retaining diverse student teachers", in OECD, *Educating teachers for diversity: meeting the challenge*, Paris, 137-162.

Zajda, Joseph (2015), "Globalisation and the politics of education reforms: history education", in Joseph Zajda (ed.), *Nation-building and history education in a global culture*, London, Springer, 1-14.

Zick, Andreas and Thomas F. Pettigrew (2008), "Ethnic prejudice and discrimination in Europe", *Journal of Social Issues* 64:2, 233-251.

Zirkel, Sabrina (2008), "Creating more effective multiethnic schools", *Social issues and policy review* 2:1, 187-241.