



# **Country research report – United Kingdom**

Hannah Jones, COMPAS January 2012 2-UK

With financial support from the European Commission



# **Executive Summary**

Attitudes to Migrants, Communication and Local Leadership (AMICALL) is an eighteen-month transnational project funded by the European Union's Fund for the Integration of Third Country Nationals. Led by a partnership of six European research institutions, with the Council of Europe as an associate partner, the project seeks to provide a platform for the sharing of good practice and the development of new strategies for the promotion of positive attitudes towards migrants and towards migrant integration at the local and regional level. Thus it addresses two core areas of integration policy and debate: the role of local and regional authorities (LRAs) in integration, and the importance of communication and public attitudes. AMICALL intends to make a contribution to the debate on integration in three ways:

- Map existing LRA practice on changing attitudes towards migrants in six European countries
- Engage LRAs in learning exchange on good practice and challenges
- Share this knowledge across Europe and inform local policy-making

This report relates to the UK research element of the AMICALL project. It is complemented by a background paper on local and regional government and migration policies in the UK. In the final stage of the project, these papers will be published together as a final country report incorporating transnational findings and recommendations.

#### What we did

The UK research involved reviewing the existing good practice guides on communications and migration, and interviewing individuals involved in promising practices, to identify: how they viewed the role of LRAs in communications work to improve attitudes to migrants; how they measured success; and examples of promising practices. As well as a general oversight of practice across different regions of the UK, 5 case studies were made of specific initiatives viewed as good practice in LRA circles. A further 2 in-depth case studies were made of LRA areas where interviews were held with representatives at different levels and in different roles within the LRA, and with representatives from their partner organisations, including in civil society. A technical workshop was held in October 2011 to test emerging findings with LRA and other relevant representatives from across the UK.

#### What we found

- Experiences across the UK vary significantly, in particular between the individual nations of the UK, because of differences in governance, political priorities, demography and local attitudes.
- There was much **enthusiasm for sharing** <u>practical</u> ideas and knowledge. Several toolkits and guidance modules exist, but LRAs were keen on identifying specific practices they could apply to their situation.
- Though there are a number of guides to 'good practice' and 'what works' in this and related areas, much of the evidence for practices being 'good' is impressionistic. There is **a lack of robust evaluation** of how interventions have made a difference to outcomes.
- Many UK LRAs had **doubts about the language of 'integration'**. Some preferred 'equality', 'community cohesion' or 'social inclusion'.

- Developing **local shared language and understanding** of the issues was an important process in itself.
- Similarly, the focus of the project on attitudes to third-country nationals (non-EU citizens, and not asylum seekers or refugees) did not fit easily with the ways that LRAs understand their role. For example, their focus was often on specific groups of migrants, or **diversity and inclusion as a whole**.
- Many UK LRAs had viewed face to face communication as much more effective than traditional media-based initiatives that seemed like a public relations or 'spin'. This links to the emphasis on engagement and involvement in many areas of UK local government policy.
- LRAs viewed **communications work in this area as encompassing** communication with front-line staff, between local organisations, at both strategic and operational levels, and with migrants to enable them to take part in local communities, as well as traditional communications such as leaflets and posters.
- There may be opportunities to **work more practically with local media**, for instance providing potential news content, rather than inviting senior editors to take part in strategic meetings.
- Though interviewees valued strategic oversight, many of the initiatives which LRAs saw as the **most effective had begun as ad hoc initiatives**, in response to or pre-empting critical incidents.
- Successful initiatives are often **driven by the vision and motivation of particular individuals** (whether politicians or officers). Though dependence on an individual in this way can be risky if they leave, some attempts to 'mainstream' practice had led to loss of momentum and expertise.
- LRAs viewed many of the major factors affecting attitudes to migrants as beyond their immediate control, making a long-term, evidence-based approach much harder. Such factors included negative coverage of migration in much London-based national media; frequently changing policy contexts (national migration policies, funding streams, local and regional government responsibilities, powers, priorities and structures); and global migration flows.
- Because of the nature of the research we focused on LRAs with 'promising practices' and therefore may have missed out the **experiences of LRAs who do not have an interest in this area**, whose inclusion could have produced different findings.

# Contents

Executive Summary	2
Contents	
1) Introduction	6
2) LRA activity	
a. What are LRAs doing to inform attitudes towards migrants in their area?	
b. How do LRAs interpret their role in relation to attitudes towards migrants?	
c. What is the range of activity in which LRAs are engaged which has the intention or the effect	
of influencing attitudes?	
d. What communications activity are LRAs engaged in which has the intention of influencing	
attitudes?	.10
e. Who are the intended target groups?	.12
f. What are the objectives of the activities?	.12
g. Is there a gap between intention of an initiative and what happens in practice?	.13
h. Is there consistency across the different parts of LRAs (departments, and between officials	
and elected representatives) in the messages that are communicated?	.13
3) Leadership and planning process	.14
a. Is it possible to identify the source of policy or practice initiatives on communication and the	<u>e_</u>
exercise of leadership in pursuing the initiative?	.14
<u>b. Is it common for an issue (or crisis) to lead to action being taken (or greater priority being</u>	
given to communication than had previously been the case)?	<u>.15</u>
c. In the planning and delivery of the communication, to what extent do LRAs engage	
professional communications experts (whether from their own staff or externally)?	<u>.16</u>
d. Are civil society organisations and others (such as the police and business representatives)	
involved in the planning or delivery of communication initiatives?	<u>.16</u>
e. Are LRAs engaged in any 'knowledge exchange' on the topics of the project with other LRAs	in
its own country and/or internationally?	.16
f. What evaluation work exists?	<u>.17</u>
4) Outcomes	<u>.18</u>
a. What is the evidence on the outcomes of LRA activities (what counts as evidence to be	
<u>considered under methodology)?</u>	
b. Do LRAs take the outcomes /learning from earlier initiatives into account?	<u>.19</u>
5) Factors influencing outcomes	<u>.19</u>
What factors can be identified which determine outcomes (such as local or national media,	
resources, inconsistency in messaging, poor implementation)?	<u>.19</u>
<u>6) Learning</u>	
Case Study 1: Local innovation and national intervention: Breckland Council	
Case Study 2: Regional support for strategic planning: COSLA Migration Policy Toolkit	<u>.23</u>
Case Study 3: Developing communication among service providers: Humber Improvement	
Partnership	
Case Study 4: Dealing with practical needs: Peterborough New Link	
Case Study 5: Using action research to develop effective interventions: Slough Borough Council	
Case study 6: Glasgow	.29

Case Study 7: Hackney	33
Appendix 1: Abbreviations	
Appendix 2: Methodological Notes	
Research question	
Scoping the research	
Selecting case studies	39
The research process	40
Producing and reflecting on findings	
Appendix 3: References	45

# 1) Introduction

Survey after survey and poll after poll reveal high levels of anti-migrant attitudes across Europe. In many countries, migration has become a 'toxic' topic, and is manipulated by populist and extremist political entrepreneurs, as can be seen in the electoral rise of xenophobic political parties across Europe. More and more Europeans are opposed to the cultural diversity associated with migration – in 2005 the EUMC found that about one quarter of the EU-15's population does not share the notion that "the diversity of a country in terms of race, religion or culture is a positive element and a strength" and that there had been a significant increase (to two-thirds) who are convinced that "multicultural society has reached its limits". However, a closer look at the evidence reveals a more complex and nuanced picture.

This is indicated in three ways. First, attitudes to migrants and to integration vary in different regions, and there is some evidence that cities tend to have much weaker anti-migrant sentiment and more embrace of diversity and cosmopolitanism. Second, polls suggest that citizens see migration as a problem for a country, but not their local area. Analysis of electoral support for anti-immigrant parties confirms this, showing that local conditions shape anti-immigrant attitudes but only in the presence of *salient national rhetoric* about immigration. Third, in some European countries, there is evidence that migrants have a much stronger local identification (especially with cities) than national identification (Blinder, 2011; Crawley, 2005).

The literature on how politicians and policy discourse interact with attitudes tends to focus on national rather than local contexts, while the literature on local contexts tends to focus on formal party politics rather than on local and regional authorities holistically. This means that much of the emphasis has been on anti-immigrant parties and on elections, rather than local government actions.

A key premise of the AMICALL project is that integration happens primarily at a smaller geographical scale than the nation-state. The body of work focusing on the local and regional state has not included much emphasis on attitudes and communications. Existing practice varies from isolated initiatives (e.g. after a terrorist incident) to a municipal dissemination of factsheets, transparency on issues such as housing allocation where perceptions of unfairness can fuel resentment, and local mediation projects. The AMICALL project aims to identify what work has been going on at this level, create platforms at national and European level for sharing that work, and identify ways forward. Thus, the AMICALL project is an action research project: reviewing practice in case study countries as rigorously as possible, and then using this research to provide a space for reflection and development for LRAs.

AMICALL (Attitudes to Migrants, Communication and Local Leadership) is an eighteen-month transnational project funded by the European Union's Fund for the Integration of Third Country Nationals. Led by a partnership of six European research institutions, with the Council of Europe as an associate partner, the project seeks to provide a platform for the sharing of good practice and the development of new strategies for the promotion of positive attitudes towards migrants and towards migrant integration at the local and regional level. Thus it addresses two core areas of integration policy and debate: the role of local and regional authorities (LRAs) in integration, and the importance of communication and public attitudes. AMICALL intends to make a contribution to the debate on in-tegration in three ways:

- Map existing LRA practice on changing attitudes towards migrants in six European countries
- Engage LRAs in learning exchange on good practice and challenges
- Share this knowledge across Europe and inform local policy-making

AMICALL is delivered by a partnership including the Centre on Migration, Policy and Society (COMPAS) at Oxford University, UK; Central European University, Budapest, Hungary; the International and European Forum of Migration Research (FIERI), Torino, Italy; the european forum for migration studies (efms) at the University of Bamberg, Germany; the Faculty of Social Sciences at Erasmus University Rotterdam, Netherlands; Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain; and the Council of Europe.

This report relates to the UK research element of the AMICALL project. It is complemented by a background paper on local and regional government and migration policies in the UK. In the final stage of the project, these papers will be published together as a final country report incorporating transnational findings and recommendations.

The sections of the report follow the key research questions for the research. The report also includes seven case studies. The first five of these are on initiatives identified from background research and initial stakeholder interviews as potential 'promising practices'. The last two case studies are of individual LRAs, examining the whole process of developing, implementing and learning from communications activities. This report is based on face to face and telephone interviews, case studies and a review of existing documentation of this area of work.

The research was conducted in summer 2011. The timing of the research is significant as the Coalition government, elected in 2010, is still developing policy on integration, and because of the uncertainties about several policy initiatives due to the fiscal climate. Consequently, the work reviewed in this paper is in a process of flux. For example, a large number of initiatives referred to in this paper were funded by the Migration Impacts Fund (MIF). This was established as a short-term fund for LRAs, to run initially for two financial years from April 2009, raising approximately £35 million per year from additional visa fees, to relieve short-term pressures on local services affected by (legal) migration. The Coalition government truncated the funding, which ended in September 2010. Along with other funding cuts, this has meant that much existing work on attitudes to migrants is in the process of being 'mainstreamed': brought from the area of special initiatives, often supported by short-term targeted funding, into the day to day business of local and regional authorities. Other initiatives have uncertain futures.

# 2) LRA activity

The structures and responsibilities of local and regional authorities in the UK vary enormously, both between and within the four nations of England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland. There are complex differences in powers, overlapping territorial responsibilities between authorities, and different patterns of elections across the UK. However, all local and regional authorities hold responsibility for at least some element of public services (housing, education, environmental health, etc); and all have some responsibility, whether legally mandated or not, for developing harmonious relations in their local area and an interest in working with other public and civil society institutions to maintain this. However, LRAs in the UK have very limited capacity for raising funds through local taxes, with the vast majority of their revenue coming through tax collection and redistribution at a national level. Nor do they have much involvement in managing migration flows, except to support residents once they have arrived. Much more detail on local and regional government structures is given in the UK background report which accompanies this research report. This section of the current report provides an overview of local and regional authority work to communicate with residents about migration.

#### a. What are LRAs doing to inform attitudes towards migrants in their area?

Many LRA representatives we contacted, particularly those who have been working on these issues for some time, described work on supporting new migrants (to speak English, to know their rights and responsibilities, to find work and decent housing) as part of the process of improving attitudes to migrants. Even addressing practical matters with migrants (such as advice, information and enforcement related to environmental health issues) can help improve existing residents' attitudes by avoiding minor conflict which could develop into serious tensions.

Opportunities for face-to-face interaction – which may involve addressing areas of tension – were seen by many LRAs as more effective in improving attitudes to migrants than traditional communications work. However, most LRAs produced some form of publicity campaign using posters, leaflets, work with the media, and carnivals or festivals celebrating local diversity.

The broad consensus from practitioners and decision-makers contacted for this research is that ordinary residents are either unaware of the different legal statuses of migrants, or confused about them. This confusion varied across areas of the country, and it was not clear how helpful it was to focus on specific statuses of migrants, or to consider attitudes to 'migrants' as a whole.

#### b. How do LRAs interpret their role in relation to attitudes towards migrants?

Many LRAs addressed attitudes to migrants not as a distinct area of work, but as part of a broader strategy on community cohesion, integration or equality, going beyond migration status and including other dimensions of possible tension such as 'race' and ethnicity, gender, religion, class, disability, sexuality and religion. For example, in the London Borough of Hackney (see case study 7), the view was that the borough was so super-diverse that to attempt to separate out attitudes to one group was not necessarily helpful – issues were addressed by need through attempts to make services accessible to all groups, and efforts were made to reflect the diversity of Hackney's residents in publicity and the workforce. A major focus of the Sustainable Community Strategy was on addressing economic inequalities across all population groups.

Where LRAs do give specific attention to migration and attitudes to migrants, this tends to focus on a particular legal category of migrants, rather than migrants as a whole. A great deal of attention has been given to asylum seekers and refugees (often in response to the impact of the national Asylum Seeker Dispersal programme) and migrant workers from within the EU. Best practice guides and knowledge sharing at a national level also tend to be organised in this way, for example the Audit Commission produced a report into local authority responses to new migration from A8 countries (Audit Commission, 2007). There is less work which specifically addresses third-country nationals as a group, although a number of smaller projects do exist which look at issues for particular groups with this status – for example, in Glasgow the West of Scotland Regional Equality Council (WSREC) was running a project developing English language skills and support groups for women arriving on spousal visas.

Local and regional authorities have different legal and service responsibilities and powers related to migration, which relate to how they interpret their role in this area. This is different again for the devolved national governments. Interviewees in Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland had the impression that attitudes to migrants were more positive than in England, perhaps because of the ability of devolved governments to distance themselves from border controls, but also because of a positive consensus across Scottish political parties, in particular, about the benefits of migration (and more positive perspectives in the Scottish media than the London-based press). The structures of Strategic Migration Partnerships are currently in flux, but where there is a partnership manager they are key in sharing information and learning between local authorities (and acting as an interface with national government). For example, West Midlands Strategic Migration Partnership (WMSMP) produced a resource for raising awareness of issues

relating to asylum seekers, refugees and other migrants and supported training using this tool for voluntary and community organisations (VCOs) and local authority staff in the region (WMSMP, 2009).

Some areas of the UK actively encourage international in-migration (in particular Scotland, and some rural areas in England and Wales). This can be linked to a need for labour in certain industries, but in areas experiencing de-population or population stagnation, LRAs also make the case that they need to stabilise or expand populations through migration in order to maintain local services. In these cases, the local media and communications work tends to be less about attitudes to *migrants* than attitudes to *migration*, and in some cases about making the place attractive to potential migrants, rather than vice versa. For example in Shetland, taxi drivers and other local businesses are encouraged to be welcoming to tourists and other visitors, to encourage them to think about settling locally. A number of LRAs (including Bradford, Sheffield and Swansea) have signed up with other local partners in civil society to mark their areas as official 'cities of sanctuary', 'proud to be places of safety which include people seeking sanctuary fully in the life of their communities'.<sup>1</sup>

# c. What is the range of activity in which LRAs are engaged which has the intention or the effect of influencing attitudes?

Many LRAs found that their responsibilities for day-to-day issues, such as enforcing rules on parking, litter and waste collection, noise and fire safety, were central to preventing banal friction which could result in stereotyping of migrants. This might involve working with long-term residents and mediating disputes, and explaining requirements and practices to new arrivals to help them avoid inadvertently causing distress to others. Peterborough Council's New Link project (see case study 4) was a pioneer and advocate of this work, identifying potential tensions between new and existing communities and working to address them (for example bilingual staff from the Mediation Service helped to resolve neighbourhood disputes over difficulties with Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs)), as well as providing direct services and advice to migrants to support them to integrate into local communities. In Leeds, MIF work on English language skills which focused on 'friendship English', or everyday conversation skills, was shown to support migrants to find employment more quickly, but may also support links between migrants and non-migrants.

Many LRAs across the UK have produced 'welcome packs' for migrants, as a guide to local services, regulations and information points. These are often produced in a number of languages as well as English – for example, in Lincolnshire the welcome pack is available in English, Latvian, Lithuanian, Polish and Portuguese, and is available to download through the council website.

Several good practice guides (e.g. Audit Commission, 2007; Ipsos-MORI, 2007; Kitchin et al, 2009) advocate training of 'front-line workers' who have direct contact with residents to enable them to counter myths and misinformation through providing accurate information and skills, and as a means of gathering information on developing tensions. Peterborough's New Link project is often cited as a good example of such training work; however, there seem to be few other concrete examples of such practice. The London Borough of Barking and Dagenham worked with consultants to develop a social marketing approach to communications with residents, training nearly 1000 front-line staff and a network of volunteer 'community ambassadors' to understand emotional reactions as well as passing on information to avoid community tensions (TCC, 2010). In some cases (e.g. in Breckland and in Peterborough – see case studies 1 and 4), key staff have been given training in the languages of new migrants, which enabled them to build trust with new arrivals as well as providing information on practical matters which might otherwise develop into tensions.

A great deal of work had been put into inter-agency communications. Many areas found that different local services (such as health, the police, education, housing, employment services) had different information  $\frac{1}{1}$  See <u>www.cityofsanctuary.org</u> for more information on this movement.

and ways of dealing with new arrivals. Though the means for inter-agency working exists in most areas of the UK through Local Strategic Partnerships (LSPs) in England, Local Service Boards (LSBs) in Wales and Community Planning Partnerships (CPPs) in Scotland, the extent to which information and practice is being shared on issues specific to migrants varies. Several LRAs have set up working groups of their LRAs in response to (or anticipation of) tensions related to new migration, in order to develop an integrated approach. Humber Improvement Partnership, for example, responded to a series of violent or near-violent incidents in public places in the evenings which seemed to involve migrants and long-term residents, by developing community safety and policing practices involving four neighbouring local authorities, the police and fire services (see case study 3). Such an approach has also been used in other areas. Though this may not be recognised as a traditional communication approach involving residents, improved communication between agencies ought to enable more sensitive responses to local tensions, thereby improving community relations.

The range of more specifically communication-focused work is addressed under (2d) below.

# d. What communications activity are LRAs engaged in which has the intention of influencing attitudes?

Work to influence attitudes through communications can include face-to-face interaction, community development and consultation events, as well as work directly undertaken by LRA communications teams.

For many LRAs, a first measure in addressing negative attitudes to migrants is the production of 'mythbusting' leaflets, which identify a common rumour about migrants, and provide more accurate information to dispel misconceptions. However, there is a growing body of opinion, based on available research and evaluation, that such tools may be counter-productive – several LRA representatives whom we interviewed referred both to direct experience and to research (e.g. Crawley, 2009; Ipsos-Mori, 2007; Kitchin et al, 2009; Lewis and Newman, 2007) to argue that dissemination of myth-busting leaflets alone was not the most effective way to influence attitudes. Such a format is seen as risking re-enforcing myths by repeating them; may not reach the people who have the most entrenched negative views; and may be ineffective when resentment is built on deep emotional attachments rather than simply lack of information. The general feeling is that leaflets may be useful in response to specific crises, but need to be used alongside interactive and responsive methods of communication.

Several examples of traditional public relations campaigns exist; for example 'One Scotland, many cultures' used a mix of TV, radio and outdoor advertising and a dedicated website to raise awareness of the negative impacts of racism and to promote the benefits of multicultural society (Sutton et al, 2007). This ran from 2002 with successive evaluations (see section 3f below), and again was part of a wider strategy of activities by Scottish Government including the Fresh Talent campaign, launched in 2004, to attract highly skilled migrants to Scotland (Sim and Gow, 2008). Several authorities embed images of diversity (of all forms) within their publicity material, for example by having a representative range of faces in leaflets advertising mainstream services, producing local free newspapers which include positive stories about all groups of residents, and promoting cultural and inter-cultural events.

Many local authorities attempt to build links with local newspapers to promote positive stories about migration, other forms of diversity (and more generally, about work by the LRA), but with mixed success. Many LRAs cite press engagement as a priority in work on cohesion and attitudes to migrants, and some LRAs have attempted to involve local newspaper editors and others in their local partnerships, but their attendance was often not high. In Cardiff, a Refugee Media Forum has brought together local media with the local authority, police, academics and asylum seekers and refugees to encourage balanced reporting of asylum issues (Finney and Robinson, 2008). Oxfam and the Welsh Refugee Council have worked with the

School of Journalism, Media and Cultural Studies at Cardiff University to employ a part-time media officer countering negative attitudes to asylum seekers and refugees, in part by helping journalists to find sources that might otherwise be difficult to access (Speers, 2001). Discussions with journalists suggest that this type of story-focused intervention may be more effective than involvement in strategic partnership meetings.

Many LRAs include in their communication, consultation and engagement strategies some form of events celebrating local diversity, which can involve both publicity and inter-group contact. Many such events are focused around sharing food, music or performance. In the London Borough of Southwark, attention has been given to English traditions within the celebration of diversity, and to raising awareness that traditions are constantly re-invented. Booklets on 'Celebrating Southwark' considered how traditions evolve (Southwark Alliance, n.d.), and from 2007 Southwark has held a St George Festival, which aims to bring together groups who already celebrate the patron saint of England and Shakespeare's birthday, and to identify St George's links to other places, cultures, occupations and illnesses as a patron saint (from Aragon to Beirut and from butchers to syphilis).<sup>2</sup> In Leicester, LMAG (Leicester Multicultural Advisory Group) brings together representatives of local media, public agencies and different faith and ethnic groups, to help to develop relationships between Leicester's diverse population and the local media, and to develop mutual understanding between Leicester's communities (Open Society Foundations, 2011). In many places, cultural activities are centred on particular times of year, such as Refugee Week and Holocaust Memorial Day.

Other communications work is embedded within the provision of local services; for example, Glasgow City Council has produced detailed curriculum development materials to support school lessons on diversity and anti-racism (see case study 6). Many schools have activities aimed at developing international links and empathy – for example, in Oldham several groups of teenagers have been taken to visit Auschwitz and have spent time working with pupils from different schools and different ethnic backgrounds thinking about the links between racism, division and the Holocaust. These students have then acted as peer educators sharing learning within their own schools.

As noted above, some authorities have also worked on providing clear information on access to services, migration issues and languages to front-line staff, and to share information and practice between organisations. Many LRAs have an emphasis on developing capacity within specific migrant groups to help them advocate for themselves and become involved in the wider community – examples include work with new Roma communities in Margate, and with Gurkhas in Rushmoor in Hampshire.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://www.stgeorgefestival.org.uk/st-george-is-patron-of/

### e. Who are the intended target groups?

The range of work can be broken into different target groups, both in terms of those who directly come into contact with a programme or intervention, and those whom it benefits or influences. For example:

Example of Intervention	Target group (involvement)	Intended Output	Target group (influence)	Intended Outcome
Local identity and branding campaigns celebrating diversity (e.g. 'I Love Hackney', 'One Oldham', 'We Are Walsall')	Migrants and non-migrants (all local residents)	Attachment to place	All residents, and visitors	A sense of local belonging for all
Provision of information and signposting to local services (e.g. welcome packs for new migrants, New Link service in Peterborough)	Migrants/new arrivals as a whole	Migrant knowledge of local custom, practice, and legal requirements, and improved well- being	Migrants and their neighbours	Migrants understand local norms, so reduced tensions from mundane misunderstandings
Mythbusting leaflets	Non-migrants as a whole	Information on change to local population and reasons for it	Non-migrant residents with hostile views to migrants	Dispel negative stereotypes and improve attitudes to migrants
English language training	Specific group of migrants based on legal status (e.g. refugees, third country nationals)	New arrivals better able to access work and rights, to integrate and contribute to local area	All residents	Improved life chances for migrants, improved communication between migrants and non-migrants, sense of shared belonging
Information on migrant rights and local statistics	LRA (and partner) staff	Staff better able to answer queries from migrants and non-migrants	All residents	Improved information to all residents to dispel harmful myths

## f. What are the objectives of the activities?

As noted above, the objectives of activities vary in terms of specific outcomes and target groups, but the majority are linked to a broader aim of promoting community cohesion or avoiding local tensions. LRA representatives explain such interventions as part of broader work to promote their area as a safe and enjoyable place to live and work – for all groups, including migrant and non-migrant residents. LRAs also have legal duties to provide equal treatment and basic health and safety requirements to all residents, and many Local Area Agreements (LAAs) (abolished in 2010) included performance targets measuring residents' sense of belonging, feelings that they got along with people from different backgrounds, and sense of safety in the local area. In some areas which face de-population, there is a pro-active objective of encouraging new migrants to settle locally.

#### g. Is there a gap between intention of an initiative and what happens in practice?

A great deal of work is dedicated to developing communications between organisations, and to understanding what the needs are or what works in relation to communication with migrants. This may not necessarily have a direct impact on attitudes towards migrants, though it can be an important first step. Evaluations are limited (see below). Many interviewees commented that it is extremely difficult to know whether a particular intervention had an effect, particularly in a context of constant change in national law and policy, local structures, international and internal migration flows, and changing measures of integration and cohesion. However, there is growing evidence from overviews of practice and what research and evaluation exists, that communications work on attitudes to migrants *can* be counter-productive if not planned well. This case has especially been made in relation to myth-busting leaflets, as discussed above. Face-to-face interventions can also be problematic if they are not handled sensitively, and examples were given of migrants feeling more threatened by discussion of tensions where an atmosphere of trust had not been established.

# h. Is there consistency across the different parts of LRAs (departments, and between officials and elected representatives) in the messages that are communicated?

In general, it appears that having an enthusiastic officer, member, or team can be the driving force in developing effective working. There were several examples of an officer taking an interest in and responsibility for working on attitudes to migrants, leading to innovative work from a perhaps unexpected part of the LRA – for example, in Breckland innovative practice was initially developed by the Environmental Health Officers in housing (see case study 1). Though all LRAs have in-house communications teams, very few pointed to those teams as the main driver on work to improve attitudes to migrants – though usually publicity materials will be signed off by that team to develop corporate branding and consistency of message. The importance of individual advocates may mean that while impacts are being made on the ground, work is understood, owned, or known about to different extents across the authority (see (3a) below for more on this).

It is also notable that a great deal of work is devoted to making links between organisations and parts of the local authority, to share and join up existing work, through partnership structures and sub-groups (see, for example, case study 3). There can be a tension between balancing direct and visible responses to specific problems while also using and coordinating the full resources of the LRA. However, research participants described instances where (lack of) communication between service professionals could have direct effects on the circumstances of migrants and attitudes towards them. For example, migrants (either from within the EU or third country nationals) on spousal visas who experience family break down (sometimes involving domestic violence) or lose their jobs and are not entitled to public funds, and can be left completely destitute. Often LRA social services departments and Job Centre or Department of Work and Pensions staff are ill-equipped to know what support is available in such cases (particularly as legislation and eligibility is complex and keeps changing), and as a result can give varying responses, sometimes leading to very poor outcomes for the migrants concerned (such as destitution or homelessness - which can in turn lead to negative attitudes towards them). The difficulties of ensuring a consistent message within the LRA and with local partners was heightened by the fact that national policy on migrants appeared to many practitioners to be contradictory (citing examples of conflicting messages on migration, cohesion, equality and preventing violent extremism, for example) and to change frequently. Policy language was often contentious because it could suggest assimilation or negativity, and many local and regional areas worked hard to find a language that was locally suitable - for example, Welsh local and national government tends

to favour the term 'inclusion' over 'cohesion'.

In the majority of LRAs contacted, the main impetus for this work appeared to come from officers, rather than politicians (although this was not universal and in some places political support was very important – for example, it was key in developing the asylum seeker support programme in Glasgow – see case study 6). Research participants described how some colleagues – often politicians but also officers – could favour counter-productive approaches when basing their views and work on a lack of understanding of new communities and the diversity between new migrants, sometimes because they relied on a model of migration related to dynamics in previous decades, reliance on impressions from businesses opening up, or on press coverage. In several LRAs, elected member training in equality and diversity issues was seen as a priority for ensuring a consistent message, but as with communications with residents, take-up tended to be partial and attendance and interest would mainly come from members who were already sympathetic.

# 3) Leadership and planning process

# a. Is it possible to identify the source of policy or practice initiatives on communication and the exercise of leadership in pursuing the initiative?

As noted under (2h), LRAs active in this area of work tended to be inspired by a particular individual or team who had identified specific issues and innovative ways of dealing with them. In many cases, individuals or teams were well known across the LRA as the most knowledgeable lead in such work. For example, in Slough (see case study 5), the Economic Development Team had a history of innovative uses of data, such as using sewage management information to develop more accurate estimates of population size. This emphasis on research-led policy led to a successful bid to develop action research on integration in a neighbourhood of Slough which has similar demography to areas of the country which have experienced community tensions. This is typical of some of the more 'bottom-up' work on improving attitudes. Such initiatives often involve work across services within the LRA and other partner agencies, on a service-delivery level rather than through the most senior representatives who attend strategic partnership board meetings.

Another notable lesson from Slough was that, when asked, many residents expressed that they would like opportunities to meet people from different backgrounds, but they felt that leadership and organisation of this should come from an outside authority, such as the LRA. This suggests that local opinions may not always chime directly with expectations of national policy agendas (whether for the Big Society or for community development approaches). A similar example of residents' views on leadership differing from policy expectations was in Walsall, where the LRA and other partners' attempts to encourage communities to organise on a broader interest base (e.g. as an African women's group) partly to enable more effective infrastructure and voice for the sector, but residents preferred to keep to the support and preservation of individual national identity – despite the limitations this might place on them when trying to find enough support for several different voluntary sector groups.

Messaging and PR campaigns from LRAs have tended to be more 'top-down', co-ordinated by communications teams within LRAs and incorporated in the LRA's wider place-shaping and community strategy objectives. National government strategy and targets are influential in bringing attention to migration and cohesion issues at a strategic partnership level (although national strategy and targets are

continuously shifting, and may continue to do so significantly given changed national priorities).

Much of the work we have detailed in this report has been led and developed by officers in local and regional authorities. However, we have a number of instances where the leadership of elected politicians has made a significant difference. This difference registers in one of two ways. Negatively, some politicians have opposed the development of initiatives. In rare instances, initiatives have been developed in spite of local politicians, but in other cases politicians have effectively vetoed action. In one case study, interviewees from the LRA described being heavily criticised by local politicians from a mainstream party; however, when the project was cited by national politicians from the same party as an example of good practice, the critical local politicians were quick to take the credit and began to champion the work. In extreme cases, we found some examples of local politicians playing a counterproductive role. For example, in one area which had seen a rapid influx of a particular group of migrants, both the local Member of Parliament, from a mainstream party, and a local councillor from a small anti-immigration party, had been highly visible inflaming public opinion against them, and local officials and police officers reported that this had made a significant negative impact on local relations and been followed by racist graffiti and verbal harassment of the newcomers.

In other areas, local politicians' leadership had made a significant positive impact. One striking example is London, illustrating how good practice can cut across party lines. Both the last (Labour) Mayor of London, Ken Livingstone, and the current (Conservative) Mayor, Boris Johnson, have been highly prominent in advocating for the economic, cultural and social benefits of migration and championing a proactive, evidence-based integration policy, first for refugees and then for all migrants. The previous mayor created MRAP, the Mayor's Refugee Advisory Panel, to give voice to refugees at a high policy level; under the current mayor this has widened its remit to all migrants. Strong messages at mayoral level have significantly altered the terms of debate in London; for example, regularisation of undocumented working migrants has been discussed at a high level, despite being seen as politically impossible in the national debate.

# b. Is it common for an issue (or crisis) to lead to action being taken (or greater priority being given to communication than had previously been the case)?

In some cases, a local incident or one in a place with similar demography has led to senior officers or politicians asking for pre-emptive action, which may involve communication work. The funding to establish New Link in Peterborough (see case study 4) was obtained through such an approach, following individual local incidents of violence and tension, and with the aim of avoiding more widespread disturbances seen elsewhere in England. In Glasgow, many LRA and partner representatives suggested that greater priority had been given to communication and community development work following the murder of an asylum seeker, Firsat Dag, in the city. Though the eventual conclusion was that this murder was not racially motivated, the attention it brought to safety issues for refugees and asylum seekers mobilised many local interventions (see case study 6). In a number of LRAs, increases in electoral success of racist far right parties locally and in similar areas led to a greater attention to tackling racism and prejudice with residents.

In many places, low scores on national indicators of community cohesion have mobilised activity, though there may not have been a specific crisis. Some rural areas have noted changes in local population and acted to pre-empt tensions. In Arun in West Sussex, for example, the population was more than 98% White British at the last Census, but has visibly changed in the last decade, particularly with migration from Eastern Europe. Though there was no specific crisis, services such as doctor's surgeries were seeing an increase in the number of patients without fluent English, and raised this with the local authority. Officers recognised that there was a lack of information about diversity and migration in the area, and produced a series of communications tools to counter negative perceptions which appeared to emanate from the national press.

# c. In the planning and delivery of the communication, to what extent do LRAs engage professional communications experts (whether from their own staff or externally)?

Most LRAs have a dedicated communications team, usually made up of staff with journalism experience. These teams tend to handle corporate relationships with the local press, and manage or commission public relations and branding, and they usually try to filter all the LRA's external communications. However, the extent to which the work of the communications team is joined up with other parts of the LRA varies. Many local services have developed myth-busting and other leaflets independently of the official communications teams. In some LRAs the communications team incorporates or is linked to consultation and engagement staff with an interest in market research or communicy development; however, this can often remain separated from the public relations focus of the main communications team, or community development work elsewhere in the LRA.

Engagement of outside communications expertise appears to be less frequent. It seems more common to look to other LRAs for shared knowledge and experience, or to guides and toolkits produced by national bodies, such as the Improvement and Development Agency (IDeA)<sup>3</sup> and the Department of Communities and Local Government (CLG). It may be more common to engage external expertise when working on specific community involvement programmes – for example, Capital Ambition (a performance improvement agency for London) commissioned a community engagement consultancy to develop work with community ambassadors in the London Boroughs of Barking and Dagenham, Bexley, Havering and Sutton. The project attributed its success in part to being able to present some of the work as independent from the LRAs.

# d. Are civil society organisations and others (such as the police and business representatives) involved in the planning or delivery of communication initiatives?

All local authorities in England, Scotland and Wales are required to have a strategic partnership<sup>4</sup> which includes the various local public sector and civil society partners (including the police, health and the voluntary sector and sometimes, but not always, involving business representatives and local media). The sharing of communications initiatives varies from place to place, though usually each organisation will retain its own identity and communications team. The extent to which joined-up messages on migration are developed depends on the relations in each locality. Civil society organisations are often used as a resource in developing communication initiatives, seen as the direct line to minority communities. However, as civil society groups tend to be organised around specific needs or locations, there tend to be fewer organisations acting as a gateway specifically to non-migrant communities, than to migrants (or other minority groups). The involvement of media organisations in planning and delivery of communications initiatives was discussed under (2d) above. The City of Sanctuary described in section (2b) above is led by civil society organisations, with a strong religious involvement, and draws in public sector support.

### e. Are LRAs engaged in any 'knowledge exchange' on the topics of the project with other LRAs in its own country and/or internationally?

There was a notable enthusiasm for learning from elsewhere, and this is probably linked to a fairly well-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Now Local Government Improvement and Development (LGID).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In England this is called an LSP, in Scotland the equivalent body is the CPP, and in Wales the LSB. In Northern Ireland governance structures are arranged differently.

embedded culture of 'best practice', at least in terms of rhetoric and structures. It may also be linked to several authorities noting that their initial responses to migration had been 'fire-fighting' or learning as they went along, without the time to reflect strategically.

There are many institutional resources for knowledge exchange on this and related subjects within the UK. This includes reports produced by IDeA summarising good practice (e.g. IDeA, 2007); the IDeA (now LGID) Communities of Practice online social network for practitioners; Beacon Awards and now Local Innovation Awards through the IDeA/LGID; awards from the Chartered Institute of Public Relations (CIPR); the COSLA Migration Policy Toolkit (see case study 2); case studies of best practice collated by the Institute of Community Cohesion (ICoCo); research and summary reports submitted to the Commission on Integration and Cohesion (e.g. Ipsos-MORI, 2007); toolkits and research reports produced by central government departments (e.g. Sutton et al, 2007); research and overviews produced by academic institutions and think tanks (e.g. Kitchin et al, 2009; Lewis and Newman, 2007); peer support through IDeA/LGID programmes, Government Office advisers and CLG Specialist Cohesion Teams; and conferences and training events organised by many of these institutions at which individual LRA representatives share their local experience and learning.

Many LRAs also referred to less formal sharing of information. Strategic Migration Partnerships (SMPs) were one point of contact through which officers might ask for advice with a specific issue. Some SMPs (notably Yorkshire and Humber and the East of England) produced project reports to draw together learning from MIF projects. Regional partnerships also supported one another through learning exchange, for example COSLA had supported the Northern Ireland Strategic Migration Partnership with its initial set-up and strategic planning. Glasgow University hosts Glasgow Refugee, Migrant and Asylum Seekers Network (GRAMNET) which brings together researchers, practitioners, NGOs and policy makers working with migrant groups to share knowledge, and this year piloted a collaborative Masters programme where students spend four weeks as interns with knowledge exchange partners. Many LRA practitioners also used informal contacts with colleagues through their personal and professional networks, in an ad hoc way.

Where external research had been commissioned (e.g. in Slough, and in Barking and Dagenham), colleagues had promoted their findings internationally, although this was often to academic rather than practice audiences. Some LRAs were involved in specific international partnering projects, for example, Migration Yorkshire is coordinating an international project, Roma SOURCE, focused on 'developing mutual understanding between Roma and mainstream communities, promoting equal rights and highlighting best practice'.<sup>5</sup> There was some evidence of individual LRAs looking at work in other countries – for example, Shetland had looked to remote parts of Sweden to understand how to develop transport systems that produce meeting points to bring communities together. However, such international relationships did not appear to be common.

#### f. What evaluation work exists?

5

Evaluation work is patchy, and that which does exist does is not often able to demonstrate robustly a cause and effect relationship between interventions and changes in attitudes. Many LRA practitioners themselves pointed to these inadequacies, which were attributed in part to lack of time and resources for more detailed evaluations, and to the constantly changing context of population and migration patterns, national migration law and policy, and national and local political priorities. Several LRAs mentioned that while the English Place Survey performance indicators for community cohesion had been difficult to interpret, the ending of the national survey by central government would remove even this limited indicator of attitudes. Though many LRAs were keen to evaluate their own work and to use evidence from elsewhere, in most cases, time and resources for measurement could only be found when there was a requirement from

http://www.migrationyorkshire.org.uk/?page=romasource

Page 17 of 46

funding bodies, or for national performance measurement. Where local initiatives are seen as successful, whether based on service measures or anecdotal evidence, they often become held up as good practice examples and disseminated to other LRAs through the knowledge transfer mechanisms listed in (3e) above.

Some LRAs had commissioned independent researchers to evaluate local programmes (for example, University of the West of Scotland in Motherwell; University of Reading in Slough; University of Brighton in Barking and Dagenham). Where independent evaluation of specific initiatives has been carried out, it still tends to be at the output level – for example the evaluation of work on staff training in Barking and Dagenham involved satisfaction questionnaires for staff who completed the programme, rather than a measure of impact on local attitudes. The balance between having an outside body to testify to success or independently identify failure may be weighed against the financial, time, and potential reputation costs of commissioning external research. External researchers may be subject to similar resource constraints as internal evaluators, and many still rely on user feedback (typically questionnaires from the attenders at a training course) or perceptions of staff, rather than outcome based measures looking at tensions, incidents, or direct feedback from residents.

As noted above, most communications work is carried out either as part of a more general approach to community cohesion, or as a very specific project focused on a particular group of migrants. Sutton et al (2007) produced a report for CLG which included evaluations of some national communications initiatives to tackle racism, including the *One Scotland* campaign. They found that the annual surveys intended to evaluate the impact of the *One Scotland* campaign could only provide limited evidence of impact because of the way the sample was selected and the ambiguous wording of some questions. As noted above, some SMPs produced summaries of lessons learnt from MIF projects, but many of these evaluations were output-rather than outcome-focused. COSLA's Migration Policy Toolkit (and most good practice guides) advise LRAs to build evaluation and learning into their interventions.

## 4) Outcomes

# a. What is the evidence on the outcomes of LRA activities (what counts as evidence to be considered under methodology)?

As noted under (3f) above, much evaluation work is output- rather than outcome-focused. LRA representatives were keen to use evidence, but expressed that it was difficult to find robust sources. Some noted that a balance needed to be found between using approaches found to be successful elsewhere, and responding to the particularities of individual local situations, where previous experience may not be directly applicable. Sometimes routine service data is used as a measure of success – for example, the number of recorded racist incidents in schools – although this also poses questions of interpretation. Even where data is collected, it is not necessarily possible to make a direct link between an intervention and changes in outputs or outcomes, and most interviewees noted this difficulty. Some also noted that attitudinal change may take several years to be visible (particularly, for example, when approaches were focused on young people who may not be included in surveys of the general population until they reach adulthood). They also noted that long-term work could easily be undermined very quickly by a serious local, national or international event (such as a terrorist attack) or changes to local services.

A notable example of a more long-term evaluation approach was a report commissioned by Oldham

Borough Council five years after the town experienced civil disturbances and came to national attention. Those events inspired central government's 'community cohesion' policy agenda based on the hypothesis that local white and Asian settled communities were living 'parallel lives', not meeting or mixing. The report revisited issues in the town to determine what progress had been made and what more was necessary. Though the issues in Oldham were not related to newly arrived migrants, its findings about relationships between longer-standing groups or residents are relevant, in that it found that despite concerted efforts by local bodies, direct communications work had had little impact on residents' attitudes to one another. The report recommended a more grass-roots engagement approach, examples of which appeared to be having success, and specific attention to white communities' feelings of neglect. This evaluation used desk research, interviews and focus groups with practitioners and residents, and looked at change over a period of years in an area which had seen comprehensive initiatives to improve community cohesion. However, even this type of evaluation cannot provide evidence of a direct mechanical relationship between specific interventions and outcomes (ICoCo, 2006).

#### b. Do LRAs take the outcomes /learning from earlier initiatives into account?

As noted under (3e) and (3f), LRA representatives expressed that they were keen to gather evaluation and evidence from elsewhere as well as locally. Local interventions are often developed in relation to existing or previous work in the locality, though the extent to which learning is systematised may be limited – learning is often embodied in the institutional or personal memory of local histories.

Aside from its obvious use in shaping the content of interventions, the incorporation of learning from elsewhere can be a powerful tool in gathering institutional and financial support for an initiative or need, as many practitioners noted. Certain research and evaluation reports were mentioned repeatedly (e.g. research demonstrating the limitations of standard myth-busting approaches (Lewis and Newman, 2007)) as were particular interventions (such as the *One Scotland* campaign).

## 5) Factors influencing outcomes

# What factors can be identified which determine outcomes (such as local or national media, resources, inconsistency in messaging, poor implementation)?

The national press was seen as a major problem by many interviewees. LRA representatives in Scotland felt that the Scottish and local press was generally quite positive towards migration, but that the output of London-based newspapers still resulted in negative attitudes to migrants. The London press was also seen as a powerful force elsewhere in the UK. Such local-national differences are also visible in relation to the responsibilities of different parts of government: when UKBA talked about promoting 'positive stories' about migration in the press, they were referring to stories about successful enforcement and deportation exercises, while LRAs would tend to mean stories about migrants' achievements or involvement in local communities.

Available resources (financial, staff and time) were widely identified as limiting effective intervention. LRAs emphasised that this was particularly true in a context where political, economic, and demographic contexts were constantly changing. It may also be the case that services are shaped in order to be eligible

for available funding streams, as much as in response to locally identified needs. Resources (and the requirements of funders) also impact on the scope of evaluation work.

As noted under (3h) and (2a), many initiatives identified as promising practices were associated with the energy, expertise, innovation and perseverance of a specific individual or team. There was also some evidence that when the work initiated by such an individual or team was recognised as important and 'mainstreamed' into more general work, momentum and knowledge could be lost and outcomes may suffer.

A lack of available information was seen as problematic in developing interventions. Aside from information on effective models of intervention, many LRAs did not have access to accurate data on their local populations, particularly when accounting for new migration. A number of LRAs had sought to address their information needs by using alternative sources of statistics, and linking service records across partner agencies, or commissioning independent researchers. Doing so uses resources which can not then be spent on direct front-line impact. However, it was felt to be important not only to inform knowledge of local needs, but to make the case for appropriate population-based funding to central government.

# 6) Learning

Lessons on comparative learning are not possible at this stage, as the work is being done in parallel with our European partners, but learning that may be relevant at a wider scale is emerging. LRAs in the UK are generally very keen to share experience and have a culture of sharing 'best practice', though the criteria for determining best practice may not always be considered scientifically robust. Based on learning from their own experience and available research, many LRAs have moved beyond myth-busting and simple public relations approaches, and have begun exploring direct communications and engagement work.

Most LRAs address attitudes to migrants within a broader agenda of addressing inequality and discrimination or improving community cohesion. Where specific interventions exist, they tend to be focused on a particular group of migrants or a specific need or issue. Thus the amount of information on projects which purely and comprehensively address the specifications of the AMICALL research is limited, but there is a great deal of evidence on work with objectives which are either more broad or more narrow than influencing attitudes to third country nationals.

There is a great deal of regional variation across the UK in terms of the experience of migration, attitudes to migration, and LRA experience and approaches to addressing these issues. Notably, LRAs in areas with devolved government tended to feel that local attitudes to migrants were less negative than in England. Much hostility was attributed to press coverage from national newspapers based in London, though in many places LRAs saw potential for work with the local press on promoting positive stories about migration. Experience of trying to engage media at a local level suggests that story-focused contact may be more effective than attempting to engage editors at a strategic planning level.

Imaginative, enthusiastic leadership appeared to be an important factor in areas where promising practice is developing. This leadership may not always come from the most senior levels of an organisation, or from the service areas which might be expected to lead on attitudes to migrants (such as communications or community development teams). Often, promising practices begin with a response to a local issue, and work across service areas to develop innovative approaches and networks which develop organically. However, this can be problematic for LRAs attempting to develop co-ordinated and efficient approaches across a whole area or organisation, and many LRAs also devote a great deal of time to attempting to link existing resources and approaches.

The AMICALL project was developed as an action research project. The lessons we hope to draw from the research are not simply intended for an academic audience, but to be shared and useable for practitioners at a local and regional level in the UK and across Europe, and for policy-makers in this field. Many LRA representatives have already commented that the opportunity to reflect on practice has been useful, helping them to focus in on one area of their work. Many more were very keen to remain updated on the AMICALL findings, and wanted to explore the potential for an ongoing network for knowledge sharing.

# Case Study 1: Local innovation and national intervention: Breckland Council

"Some places put their heads in the sand; we put in the effort and resources to avoid problems"

#### What was the intervention?

Breckland Council developed a responsive approach to new migration, including training Environmental Health Officers (EHOs) in basic skills in new local languages, employing a dedicated Community Liaison Officer, developing a Community Leadership Programme to train informal community advisers, advice surgeries for new migrants in meeting places such as cafes or delicatessens set up by new migrants, support for proprietors of such businesses to develop their business to appeal to non-migrants and act as a mixing place (e.g. with menus in English), international conferences with MEPs and diplomats as a form of mythbusting and capacity building, contacts through religious centres and 'multicultural events' with dancing and food, supporting a community radio station with some programmes from migrant DJs which enabled positive messages to be broadcast across the region, and the 'Pride in Breckland' campaign to promote a sense of belonging across all communities. This variety of innovations in a small rural LA attracted the attention of national government, and between 2008 and 2009 a Specialist Cohesion Team (SCT) from CLG examined existing measures to promote cohesion, and suggested further improvements.

#### Who was involved?

Initial work was developed responsively, led by enthusiastic Environmental Health Officers in the Private Sector Housing Team of the LA, who made links with partners including the Citizens Advice Bureau, Age Concern, GPs, the local NHS, and employers to discuss impacts and gather data. Some work was funded through the LSP and bids for EU grants. International partners, including MEPs and diplomats, were involved through conferences and visits. Much of the work was initially with new migrants, with the intention of building their own capacity to interact with existing communities and defuse tensions, in turn improving attitudes to migrants. The Specialist Cohesion Team intervention involved the LA and LSP working with central government through the Communities and Local Government Department.

#### What led to the intervention?

Breckland is a rural area, which first experienced significant international immigration around 13 years ago, mainly from Portugal, and has a still growing Eastern European migrant population. The first intimation of impacts the LA received came from GPs who noticed a growing number of patients without fluent English and raised this with a councillor, who asked LA officers for more information. From that point, officers started to gather information on housing and employment issues, to explore potential exploitation and impact on settled communities. Breckland was later chosen as a pilot for the SCT work because it had one of the lowest scores for NI1, but this did not seem to equate with the lack of tension in the area.

#### What were the outcomes?

Responsive work by the LA prior to the SCT intervention did not appear to impact on the scores for NI1 (which were low), but they did appear to be countering poor attitudes to migrants in that there were few visible tensions in the area, and this is what attracted attention of the SCT.

#### How was this measured?

Most project outcomes are measured by the numbers using a service, partner feedback and customer satisfaction forms. Local reports of tensions, articles in the press and service feedback suggest a more

positive picture of attitudes to migrants than the outcomes of the Place Survey.

#### What lessons can be learned?

The SCT intervention found that much work was reliant on the Community Liaison officer, and that work with migrants and BME residents should be mainstreamed. The lead for work on migration was moved from the private sector housing team to the community team. Since then, further initiatives have been developed; however, it appears that some of the momentum and focus on specific issues of particular groups of migrants have been lost. This case study suggests that the move to mainstream services needs to bear in mind how energy and responsiveness of those who initiate innovative work might be key to its success.

# Case Study 2: Regional support for strategic planning: COSLA Migration Policy Toolkit

"Migration has an important role to play in addressing Scotland's demographic challenges and in helping our economy to grow"

#### What was the intervention?

COSLA (the Convention of Scottish Local Authorities) produced a Migration Policy Toolkit for local authorities, launched in May 2010. They provided intensive support to some local authorities using the toolkit, and used this learning to share lessons more widely. The toolkit provides LAs with an understanding of local demographic challenges; helps LAs to better estimate the number of migrants in their area, enabling accurate grant allocations and planning for appropriate services; suggests policy options to attract, retain and integrate migrants, including work to support both migrants and settled communities. Issues covered include: civic leadership from local politicians; integration; managing communications; supporting front-line staff. There is a focus on face-to-face communication, for instance training of local councillors and front-line staff so they have accurate information on migration, its benefits and their role in promoting this, and similar work with local people. The toolkit uses a broad definition of migration, including international and within-UK migration.

#### Who was involved?

The initiative involves Scottish local government, Scottish national government, and UK government. COSLA's work in this area was initially funded by the UK Borders Agency, but since the Toolkit was published in 2009 Scottish Government has provided match funding. Two intensive support pilot projects were completed in Shetland and Glasgow. Dumfries and Galloway have used the Toolkit themselves to develop a demographic action plan with input from COSLA. The team now plan to work with a number of local authorities across Scotland in the next two years. The toolkit, outcomes and lessons learned are available to all LAs.

#### What led to the intervention?

Scottish Government identified in-migration as a key solution to achieving their ambitious population targets and counteracting demographic challenges. Migration can fill skills gaps, contribute to the economy, add diversity and boost the working age population. Although the mid-2009 Scottish population estimates reveal the highest population since 1979, Scotland still faces significant demographic issues. Many local authorities are experiencing significant demographic challenges such as a growing elderly population, a decreasing working age population and in some areas depopulation which means the continued provision of services may become untenable. The Policy Toolkit aims to support Scottish local authorities and their Community Planning Partners to better understand their migrant populations and to develop a strategy that allows them to take advantage of the benefits that inward migration can bring.

#### What were the outcomes?

Individual LAs have received intensive support with the toolkit either to begin strategic work on migration and integration, or to review existing work and develop responses for the future. The toolkit is also available for any local authority to use independently. COSLA has worked closely with two local authorities to date, and other local authorities have used the Toolkit independently. LAs can receive support from COSLA in gathering data to better understand local demographic and migration trends, and developing local dialogue, and to decide an overall strategy that links to their Single Outcome Agreement and the Scottish Government National Outcomes, using the toolkit to consider actions which could contribute to the overall strategy. Specific outcomes included (in Shetland, for example), a Welcome Pack for new migrants including information on events in the community centres and local shops, training for front-line staff to develop their understanding of migration and role in promoting it, and measures to keep councillors informed of issues that migrants may face as well as problems that occur within existing communities. The process of producing the Toolkit has also led to improvements in the quality of data available to local authorities on their migrant populations and the Toolkit signposts to the best sources of data.

#### How was this measured?

Outcomes measures of the toolkit are largely process or output-based. For instance, the number of LAs supported, strategies produced and actions put in place (such as the development of local Welcome Packs).

#### What lessons can be learned?

The nature of the programme is to promote shared learning between LAs, tailored to specific local needs and trends. Shetland has also looked at international lessons as part of this work, to understand how transport services in remote parts of Sweden bring communities together, and how the Faroe Islands grew their population. A major issue for all LAs and nationally appears to be gathering up-to-date and accurate data on local migration trends, in order to deliver responsive services. A significant change to the political landscape in the UK and the economic downturn have created challenges for the Scottish ambition of harnessing migration to counteract demographic challenges. COSLA is revising the toolkit in light of this and of learning from the pilot areas.

# Case Study 3: Developing communication among service providers: Humber Improvement Partnership

#### "it comes down to relationships"

#### What was the intervention?

In response to a growing number of reports of low-level community tensions associated with tensions between new migrants and existing communities in city centres in the evening, local authorities and other statutory bodies came together to share information. They invited colleagues from other regions (including Leeds City Council and Lincolnshire Police) to share learning on tension monitoring systems, and developed a local system to monitor and defuse tensions. Parallel work was carried out with voluntary and community

sector representatives to explore gaps in relation to working with migrants. Additional research about new migrant communities in the area was commissioned from Hull University by the Humber Improvement Partnership (HIP). This work complemented broader communications work including myth-busting leaflets distributed through libraries (mainly about asylum seekers), translation services signposted through local authority websites, and an English language set of 'life guides' also available online. Efforts were made to integrate housing for asylum seekers with existing communities, and to build relationships with registered landlords who housed large numbers of migrants, by using existing networks and practical support, e.g. with housing standards and fire safety.

#### Who was involved?

Four local authorities (Hull City Council, East Riding of Yorkshire, North Lincolnshire and North East Lincolnshire) came together with the fire and rescue authority which covers the area, to form the Humber Improvement Partnership (HIP). They were offered additional peer support through the Improvement and Development Agency (IDeA)'s Migration Excellence Programme. Work was also developed with voluntary and community sector representatives in the region.

#### What led to the intervention?

Though the Humber sub-region had not had a history of serious tensions related to migrants, there were growing reports of low levels of abuse and intimidation in town centres at night. In addition, officers felt that dialogue with migrant communities could be improved. Earlier Audit Commission research had found that co-ordination between services working on migrant issues was patchy (Matthews, 2006).

#### What were the outcomes?

All four local authorities involved in the HIP, together with the police and fire services, developed shared tension monitoring systems. This enabled information on potential problems to be shared more quickly, so that preventative work could be carried out with migrant and non-migrant communities to defuse tension before any serious conflicts developed. In addition, Hull City Council and East Riding of Yorkshire Council began discussions on joint commissioning of work with community groups to support migrants.

#### How was this measured?

This work was mainly process-driven, and so focused on the systems that were set up between organisations, and the number of staff whose skills, knowledge, and ability to support migrant and non-migrant residents were improved. Feedback from staff involved in the project was positive. In addition, the rating for National Indicator 1 ('do people from different backgrounds get along well in your area?') in the Place Survey increased 13 percentage points between 2007 and 2009, when the programme was running – however, local officers are cautious about how far this can be attributed directly to the intervention.

#### What lessons can be learned?

The central aim of the initiative was to learn from good practice in LRAs elsewhere, and between local partners. The relationships across LA boundaries and between partners is residual legacy of HIP. This method of working prevented duplication of work for fire and police services, which cover several LRAs, and working in partnership was seen as valuable even where different services had different perspectives. Though this was a systems-focused intervention, commitment from senior levels was seen to enable interventions at other levels of organisation.

# Case Study 4: Dealing with practical needs: Peterborough New Link

"a lot of negative things people said about migrants were true, not because they were migrants, but because they didn't know which bins to use or where they were allowed to park their cars"

#### What was the intervention?

The New Link Centre was initially established in 2004 as an advice, information and signposting service for new migrants to Peterborough, based in a single centre. A major emphasis was on integration, and identifying areas of conflict or tension between new migrants and settled communities. Services provided through New Link included English language training, citizenship and integration skills, information on local regulations and requirements, support for migrants in knowing their housing and employment rights, building a database of migrant skills to match them to employers, mediation services in neighbourhood conflict, and work on capacity building and education of service providers, community groups and local media to promote positive messages about migration in Peterborough and counteract harmful myths. The service emphasised that some conflict was based not on myths but on practical issues or misunderstandings, and worked with migrants to explain concerns of settled communities and resolve practical issues. Some negative public debate about the investment in services to migrants was countered by a strong press and communications strategy emphasising the benefits for existing residents of ensuring new migrants had good information about local norms and were able to find local work and services. In early 2011, the New Link Resource Centre closed and the work was 'mainstreamed to extend the good practice to wider communities and make it more accessible'.

#### Who was involved?

New Link initially received £2.2m over three years from a Home Office Invest to Save Fund, following an approach from Peterborough City Council, the police and health services, and the Red Cross. The project employed workers speaking several community languages, and developed links with community groups made up of both new migrants and long-term residents.

#### What led to the intervention?

Though Peterborough already had significant migrant communities from Italy, Poland, Pakistan and East Africa, its designation as an asylum dispersal centre in 2001 increased significantly the number of new migrants in the area. As freedom of movement developed in the EU, increasing numbers of migrants also arrived to work, initially largely from Portugal. Most new migrants chose to live (or for asylum seekers, were designated housing) in a single area of the city, which already had a significant BME community. Low-level tensions began to develop, including a serious night of disorder between a group of Kurdish young men and British Pakistani young men, which drew police reinforcements from London, and local and national press attention. This led to local service providers making a bid to deliver nine projects (of which New Link was one) in Peterborough, to prevent further disorder through work with new and existing communities.

#### What were the outcomes?

Peterborough did not see any further disturbances of this sort, and the New Link project grew in delivery and reputation. It has been seen as an example of good practice for other authorities.

#### How was this measured?

New Link's success was measured in outputs of its individual projects (such as getting migrants into highly-skilled work), reduced levels of visible tensions, and improved reputation in local and national press.

New Link's success appears in part attributable to an imaginative and flexible approach – for instance, when take-up of information for new migrants about local services and regulations was low, this was integrated into English language classes. Listening to existing residents' concerns, understanding where practical interventions could be made, and publicising these, was also crucial to ensuring New Link's success.

# Case Study 5: Using action research to develop effective interventions: Slough Borough Council

#### "identify and interact with social processes that shape social cohesion" (Francis-Brophy et al, 2011)

#### What was the intervention?

Slough Borough Council commissioned action research in one of its most deprived wards (Baylis and Stoke), to investigate levels of community at the beginning of a three year period, suggest evidence-based interventions to improve cohesion, and evaluate the impact of these interventions on attitudes at the end of three years. Researchers at the University of Reading were commissioned to deliver this work, which is now part-way through, and includes the implementation of three projects: 1) 'Local know-how', a buddy scheme between local residents and *Migration Works* students, based in the local infants school (now completed); 2) 'Grown together', a community allotment scheme to develop a herb and vegetable garden for residents and schools, based in a local park (yet to be delivered); 3) 'Chatabouts', forums in changing venues, allowing residents to discuss what is important in their street, featuring a attractive event such as a film or school performance (yet to be delivered).

#### Who was involved?

The Economic Development Team within the LA asked for tenders to deliver the project. The commission was won by researchers from the University of Reading, who engaged residents (both non-migrants and migrants) through initial research which informed the design, and in implementation of action projects. The project has strong links with the local integration project *Migration Works*.

#### What led to the intervention?

The LA had a history of using local data in imaginative ways identify areas of work pro-actively. Similarities in demographic data suggested that Baylis and Stoke shared many characteristics with areas that had experienced tensions or disorder. The project was framed within the parameters of the European Integration Fund (which supported it financially), national prioritisation of community cohesion, the priority given to community cohesion by the LSP, and existing local interventions. The practical interventions are based on the University of Reading's research, which builds on the idea that public participation activities can develop understanding through interactions of individuals and groups.

#### What were the outcomes?

The initial research found that residents prioritised 'social connectedness' over economic and employment outcomes in terms of the impact on living together well – because of a general feeling that everyone was finding economic conditions tough. Residents thought activities linking white residents with BME residents, and activities linking older and younger people would have the most impact on developing a

shared sense of belonging. The project has planned three community interventions, one of which has already been delivered and is currently being evaluated.

#### How was this measured?

The effects of the practical interventions will be measured using a survey and interviews based on previous National Indicators, and benchmarked against the initial audit of cohesion. The analysis will compare ethnic and age groups. The project tender document stated that measures of effectiveness would include the extent to which interventions "changed people's minds" about migrants'.

#### What lessons can be learned?

This project demonstrates the potential for LAs to work in mutually beneficial collaboration with universities, through evidence-based action research with visible effects in local communities. The different timescales the two sectors work in need to be taken into account, as the local authority reorganisation of services (partly in response to wider public sector efficiency concerns) has led to a change in personnel and structures managing the project. The research found that residents saw the LA (rather than individual citizens) as responsible for developing cohesion work. This could have implications for managing local leadership and resources for community development.

#### Background

Glasgow is Scotland's largest city, with a population of 592,820 in 2010. Following decades of population decline in the 1960s and 1970s, Glasgow's population has stabilised, with growth over the past ten years primarily driven by a net migration gain of 18,678 from 2000-2010 (COSLA, 2011). The city has experienced migration over many decades, and around 5% of residents are from an ethnic minority, including significant South Asian and Chinese populations. Glasgow was a dispersal centre under the UK asylum seeker dispersal scheme (the only one in Scotland) and the LA has welcomed recent migration as bring regeneration and prosperity to the city, and countering population decline. Glasgow City Council's contract with UKBA to provide housing to asylum seekers in Scotland was brought to an end at the beginning of 2011, with housing services for asylum seekers now provided through Y People (a charity) and Angel Group (a private housing provider). Much work on developing positive attitudes to migrants has focused on asylum seekers and refugees and Glasgow's growing population from other EU countries. There is significant work on tackling both racist and sectarian discrimination and hostility (which may or may not relate to migration history), particularly in schools. Local interviewees reported that there appeared to be a generally welcoming attitude to migrants in the city, with some specific issues to be addressed.

#### How does the local authority interpret its role in relation to attitudes towards migrants?

Glasgow City Council's approach to migration is similar to that of the Scottish Government – encouraging migration, as part of an overall economic development strategy. Interviewees emphasised how migration had had visible effects on regenerating Glasgow, a perception which they believed to be widely shared by residents. The LRA's involvement in working with migrants increased substantially when it entered a contract with UKBA to provide housing for asylum seekers in the city in 2000. Several respondents discussed how initially the asylum seeker dispersal scheme had not focused on communication with existing residents – it seemed that this was less of a specific decision than a product of agencies developing services as they went along. More recently (see below), the LRA (alongside the third sector) has developed a number of systems for communicating with residents in areas where migrants have settled, including Integration Networks, citizenship and anti-discrimination work in schools, front-line work to identify and address specific areas of tension, publicity campaigns, and involvement in the Glasgow Refugee, Asylum Seeker and Migrant Network (GRAMNET) with the University of Glasgow. The LRA is currently reviewing its overall economic strategies and its work on migration, in the context of changes in public spending, responsibility for asylum seeker housing moving from the council, and reflecting on ten years of large-scale migration to the city (COSLA, 2011).

#### What is the range of LRA activity with the intention or the effect of influencing attitudes?

The LRA has produced Welcome Packs with basic information for new migrants, tailored for both refugees and EU migrant workers, in a range of languages. Regeneration of poor housing areas was largely attributed to their re-population through immigration (of asylum seekers), with investment coming in part through accommodation contracts for asylum seekers, and provision of services through procurement from local businesses (e.g. social enterprises making furniture) – but the extent to which this was understood by or communicated to the general public was unclear. 'Myth-busting' by the Asylum and Refugee support services in the LRA tended to be through face to face communication in local and neighbourhood meetings, rather than a communications strategy as such.

The LRA education service has been very active in direct communications work through schools, developing comprehensive multi-media curriculum resources for anti-racism work in both primary and

secondary schools. Several interviewees suggested that one of the most important factors in promoting positive attitudes to migrants had been the presence of migrant children in schools, making migrant families part of local communities. This integration had been supported by intense tutoring in English language for those newly arrived children with the most basic levels of English, before they enter schools. This centralised service provided by the LRA is currently undergoing a review and the pattern of service delivery will change to an outreach basis in future. The education authority and schools have also been involved in international initiatives to develop positive attitudes to diversity and migration, such as the British Council Inclusion and Diversity in Education (INDIE) project.<sup>6</sup>

In Govanhill (one of the city's most deprived areas), the LRA has worked with other partners to develop one of the first neighbourhood management initiatives in Scotland. Govanhill has a long history of immigration, from older settlements of Jewish, Irish and Bangladeshi migrants and more recent arrivals of significant numbers of people from A8 and A2 countries, including Roma migrants. There had been some instances of residents feeling that public services were not meeting their concerns around safety and street cleansing, with some anxieties becoming focused on new migrants and Roma people in particular (e.g. issues such as groups of people socialising on street corners). Where there was antipathy to new migrants this came both from white Scottish and Scottish Asian residents (Bynner, 2010). The integrated service Hub has tried to counter these anxieties and antipathies by developing intensive local communications – both between local services at an operational level (officers from police, health, housing, education meeting every day to share intelligence about local incidents) and between local residents – and dealing with specific issues such as waste disposal and community safety through information and enforcement, supported by employing staff with skills in new local languages, with both a communication and a community development role.

Interviewees emphasised that much of the work on integration was done by third sector organisations (led both by migrants and existing residents), and the LRA had worked with and through these organisations to different extents. Oxfam and the Scottish Refugee Council had been very active in the city, emphasising the importance of attitudinal change among existing residents to enable integration (mainly of refugees). Oxfam's work included working with journalism students and providing media training for refugees, working with the Poetry Library to promote local refugees' poetry on the sides of buses, supporting a video (shown in Glasgow Central Station) of local young people interviewing refugees about their experiences, and working with the LRA on employability training for refugees.

There have been general publicity campaigns to promote the benefits of migration to residents in Glasgow, related to the Scottish Government ambition to promote migration. These included publicity for the Fresh Talent Initiative, which aimed to encourage migrants to work and settle in Scotland (and which has now ended because of changes in UK migration legislation) and the One Scotland anti-racism campaign which provides advice to migrants or others on their rights against discrimination, and has also produced large-scale poster campaigns celebrating diversity through images of a culturally diverse Scotland.

#### Who are the intended target groups?

The LRA has a generally positive communications message on migration in its policies on development of the city, which are available to the general public but probably more often accessed by service providers in the city. While the 'One Scotland' and other general Scottish Government campaigns have been aimed at the population as a whole, many of the more specific examples of LRA work promoting positive attitudes are largely on a face-to-face or service provision level.

Much work is focused on children in schools, and through them, their families. This includes both focused

6

work (such as anti-racism elements in the curriculum and targeted work to address racist incidents) and simply recognising diversity as everyday and familiar. Similarly, work in Govanhill to tackle tensions between Roma and other residents, and through integration networks in other parts of the city with existing residents and migrants has focused on gathering information on specific neighbourhood issues and addressing them through information or action to avoid escalation of negative attitudes. Thus the target groups have tended to be those most directly witnessing migration-related changes in the local population. Several interviewees saw this as the most effective way of reaching those residents who were indifferent to migration but could otherwise become influenced in negative ways (and seen as the majority) – as opposed to the 'usual suspects' who are usually in favour of migration, or completely against it, and unlikely to be swayed either way.

#### What are the objectives of the activity?

There was a sense that, unlike the perception of many areas in England, there was not a general underlying antipathy to migration (and less negative media coverage). As such, the objectives of communications work was both to make migrants feel welcome, and to let residents have information about migration and related changes in the city, and to be engaged in that change. Promoting the city as a place of diversity and belonging (both through images of the place and the actual experience of new and existing residents avoiding discrimination) was seen as important not simply to avoid tensions, but also to building the area's economic future through regeneration and countering population decline.

#### Is there a gap between the intention of an initiative and what happens in practice?

It was not possible to identify a single strategy on attitudes to migrants in the city, against which the achievement of intentions could be judges as a whole (although the findings of this case study may be limited by difficulties of gaining access to senior decision makers within the LRA). While interviewees were generally positive about the success of work to promote positive attitudes, they stressed that much work on migration had been 'on the hoof', and effective practice had sometimes emerged organically rather than through strategic planning. Interviewees noted that the effectiveness of initiatives could be undermined by the changing context, such as public finance challenges and changes in global migration patterns. They emphasised the difficulties of balancing a positive approach to migration with what was seen as a much more negative or restrictive message (and policies) at UK Government level.

#### Is there consistency across the different parts of the LRA in the messages that are communicated?

There appears to be a consistent message about migration from the different parts of the LRA who engaged with this research. It was not clear that this resulted from systematic links through a corporate communications strategy about migration, but nevertheless managers of front-line services appeared to share similar views and practices around work on attitudes to migration. Several research participants noted that following the 2001 murder of asylum seeker Firzat Dag on the Sighthill Estate, a concerted communications approach was developed to inform the public about the asylum dispersal programme, led by the council and with the involvement of senior elected members. Several of the ongoing examples in this case study developed from that earlier strategic work.

## Where does the initiative for this work come from, and who exercises leadership in pursuing it?

In general, work in this area was seen as led by civil society and LRA officers, with local politicians seemingly less vocal – though interviewees valued the broad political support for a positive attitude to migration in GCC and Scottish Government strategic statements. Work in schools was led actively by officers Education Service in the LRA, often linked to broader priorities, such as longer-standing initiatives to counter sectarian tensions and racism. Community engagement in Govanhill was seen by those involved to be effective because leadership was kept local, with communications between people working and living locally, rather than dependent on strategic-level meetings and protocols.

There was a sense that many initiatives had been developed in response to a quickly changing situation around migration. Responses to asylum seeker dispersal, in particular, had presented challenges which the LRA and peers had not previously experienced, and communication with existing residents had not emerged as an initial priority, but developed as the LRA learned from experience. Integration networks were developed by the council to increase community engagement and communication, following the murder of an asylum seeker in the Sighthill area of the city. There was a consensus among interviewees that while this murder was not racially motivated, it nevertheless acted as a catalyst for developing better communications around integration and the safety of new migrants.

Though there were examples of negative attitudes in areas receiving new migrants, interviewees argued that in general existing communities had been welcoming of new migrants (particularly asylum seekers) – perhaps more welcoming that public authorities had expected. Many initiatives came from residents themselves, with a number of examples of local (non-migrant) residents mobilising to prevent deportation of failed asylum seekers by UKBA, through physical demonstrations and letter-writing campaigns. There were a number of very active civil society and third sector organisations working on positive attitudes to migrants in Glasgow – from large organisations like Oxfam and the Scottish Refugee Council, to medium-sized organisations attracting grant funding for specific projects like the West of Scotland Regional Equality Council (WSREC), to self-organised campaigns among friends and neighbours of migrants. These organisations worked independently and, at different times and to different extents, also with the LRA.

#### What is the evidence on the outcomes of these activities?

Though there is monitoring of data such as racial incidents, all interviewees noted that it was difficult to link changes in such data to specific communications interventions. A study conducted by the British Council interviewed young leaders within Glasgow schools, and found they believed that initiatives (to promote diversity within the curriculum, to prevent racist bullying, to promote student voice and to ensure all students reached their full potential) had some success, but there was room for further development (Tikly, 2009). The majority of other evaluations tend to be based on user questionnaires or other feedback, rather than additional information-gathering about impacts on attitudes in the general population. The emphasis of much work on very local and face-to-face communications may mean that the perceptions of officers about changes in attitudes are a more reliable form of evidence-gathering than in other contexts. GRAMNET's work to bring together public agencies, academic researchers and third sector organisations is intended to develop knowledge exchange and may lead to further work on gathering systematic evidence about attitudes to migrants and the effectiveness of interventions in future.

#### What factors can be identified which determine outcomes?

All interviewees felt that Glasgow had an advantage over other areas of the UK (particularly in England) when dealing with attitudes to migrants, partly as the population was seen as inherently welcoming (though some noted that the city was not always a peaceful place). The media was seen as less of a barrier compared to England, as newspapers known for very negative coverage of migration are less popular in Scotland. The other major contextual factor seen as important was the ageing population in Glasgow (though less pronounced than in the rest of Scotland) and the emphasis placed by the LRA and the Scottish Government on migration as a solution to that. These contextual issues may have made it easier for the LRA and partners to focus communications work and interventions on specific areas of tension and practicalities, rather than establishing an underlying pro-migrant message. This meant that defusing tensions around community safety or environmental health issues, which might otherwise have become an opportunity to scapegoat migrants, were defused on a practical level.

#### What learning can be drawn from this evidence and analysis for this case study?

As Glasgow's migration patterns changed very quickly as a result of different policies, the LRA faced challenges which it had not experienced before, and a major issue which seems to have been learnt was about the importance of engaging existing residents from the beginning of migrant integration. One interviewee described how residents in areas where asylum seekers had been settled said they wished they had known about the scheme from the start so that they could have welcomed new arrivals. The other major lesson about integration and communication appeared to be the importance of links through children, families and schools. In areas where families had got to know one another through their children being at school together, there appeared to be very strong support for new migrants, as evidenced in community campaigns to prevent deportation. While these campaigns were specific to asylum seeking migrants, strong links may also be built between existing residents and migrants with different legal statuses through the same mechanisms. The political emphasis on migration as important to building a prosperous future for Glasgow and Scotland was seen as valuable for providing the basis of any work on positive attitudes to migrants, especially when linked to visible benefits to the economy of the city and its physical infrastructure. While in some cases an emphasis on communicating only positive messages about overall prosperity has been criticised as potentially alienating more marginalised residents who could be experiencing negative impacts of migration (e.g. through overcrowding), there appear to be examples in Glasgow of the background of general positivity making it possible to put more energy into focusing directly on these tension points with face to face contact and conflict resolution.

### **Case Study 7: Hackney**

#### Background

The London Borough of Hackney is a dense urban area with a long history of migrants from a diverse range of countries and backgrounds settling in the borough. It is recognised that a lot of migrants live in Hackney, however it is difficult to determine the exact figures as detailed statistics on international migration are not collated at a national, regional or local level. Hackney has a population of 237,600 (Mayhew et al, 2011) and 51% are White British compared to 60% in London and 83% in England (LBH, 2011). 36% of the population were born outside of the UK and it is estimated that around 100 languages are spoken in schools in Hackney.<sup>7</sup> Hackney is also a relatively deprived area of London: 47% of Hackney's housing is social housing, for those on the lowest incomes (compared to 23% in London and 17% in England) (LBH, 2011), yet the average price of buying a home in Hackney is much higher than either London or England averages (£393,893 compared to £349,026 and £162,109) (Land Registry, 2011).

Though the LA was once notorious as a 'rotten borough', with race discrimination cases upheld against the housing service and education removed from direct LA control, over the past decade it has become a well-performing authority and established that local cultural and other forms of diversity are valuable – and everyday. National indicators, local voting records and anecdotal evidence suggest that there are not significant negative attitudes to migration in Hackney, particularly when compared with other parts of England. Hackney is seen and experienced as a place where people 'rub along well'. LA officials and residents question whether there may be potentially serious tensions 'beneath the surface' which are believed to stem from socio-economic disadvantage of a dense urban area rather than tensions towards migrants and anti-immigration feelings. The incidents of disorder that occurred in Hackney in August 2011 are thought to be a materialisation of those socio-economic tensions and must be viewed within the context of the nationwide disturbances rather than being triggered by specific local tensions and issues. Similarly, there is an awareness of racist and anti-immigrant activity in neighbouring boroughs (from the BNP and the EDL), of which interviewees were mindful, though there was no evidence of activity within

#### Hackney's borders.

#### How does the local authority interpret its role in relation to attitudes towards migrants?

Work on equalities and diversity is well-established in the LA and with other statutory and voluntary bodies in the borough. The LA corporate policy, and the approach described by all LA representatives interviewed for this research, is that 'migrants' are not treated as a distinct group by the LA. This is partly in recognition of the vast diversity within the category of 'migrant'. The LA emphasises service provision to meet needs, rather than category of person, and attempts to meet diverse needs within mainstream services, rather than devising discrete services for different groups. Those interviewed for this research stressed that developing mainstream services to cater for everyone was complemented by working to identify minority or specialist needs to be included, such as migrant status. LA officers argued that existing evidence (such as results of the national Place Survey, LA consultation work, tension monitoring by the police service, local voting patterns, media coverage, face-to-face interaction by politicians and front-line staff, and independent research for the Migrant Strategy) did not suggest there was any particular hostility to migrants in Hackney. A cross-cutting review of work on cohesion was established in June 2009, which sought to focus the LA on a relevant local definition and approach to cohesion, to gather empirical research about potential problems, and to develop practical interventions where necessary. This review included migration as one dimension of diversity and cohesion, but did not privilege it above other questions such as economic inequality or discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation or religion. A local voluntary sector umbrella group, HCVS, which also manages Hackney's Community Empowerment Network (CEN), has a long history of capacity building and linking to new migrant groups, particularly through the Refugee and Migrant forum which it hosts. In 2010, Team Hackney (the LSP) secured a grant from MIF and commissioned HCVS to develop a migrant strategy. The Strategy has been delivered, and the LA's response is in development. HCVS support Hackney becoming a 'Borough of Sanctuary' (see www.cityofsanctuary.org/hackney), though this has not to date been officially endorsed by the LA.

#### What is the range of LRA activity with the intention or the effect of influencing attitudes?

Identifying specific work on influencing attitudes to migrants was not straightforward, given the mainstreaming approach outlined above. This is not to say that such work does not exist, but that it forms part of more general strategies to reinforce positive attitudes to diversity, counter discrimination, and address inequalities. An obvious exception to this is the draft Migrant Strategy, which the LSP commissioned HCVS to develop with funding from the Migration Impact Fund. This involved research into the experiences of first-generation migrants and made recommendations for local services to support integration. In line with its overall approach (and with the limitations on public sector funding), the LA is developing an action plan to complement the draft Strategy's evidence base and to address the needs of migrants that it identifies, by building on existing work and partnership structures. Most of the findings of the draft Migrant Strategy are around delivery of services to migrants, rather than focused on attitudes to migrants in the wider community. Indeed, the draft strategy states that 'Overall Hackney is a tolerant borough where most residents value diversity' (HCVS, 2011:29). Where migrants' safety is mentioned, this is mainly connected to living in poor areas (rather than necessarily to migrant status itself), and to relations with the police, particularly when they are perceived as enforcing immigration controls. Poor relations with, or lack of trust in, the police can of course make it harder for migrants to address hate crime against them if it does occur – so, for example, the LA action plan will develop existing LA work on hate crime and police-community engagement structures.

Schools, supported by Hackney's education provider, The Learning Trust (TLT), celebrate annual events such as Holocaust Memorial Week and Black History Month, but officers stressed that the ordinariness of diversity in Hackney was equally important in promoting cohesion. Support is targeted towards need, rather than migrant (or other) status. Interviewees named a small number of incidents when support for

children and families (for example, access courses for employment) were challenged by parents who thought migrant (or other minority ethnic) families were being treated preferentially to white British families. TLT officers had dealt with this by explaining the needs criteria for access to the service, and the tendering process for establishing new services, so that parents were aware of how to develop services which met their own needs if they felt these were being neglected. Such incidents, it was stressed, were rare and in general users of Hackney education services were not seen to need any special intervention to improve attitudes to migrants.

School achievement of some ethnic groups are still noticeably below average; however, this is not necessarily an issue of migration. Many migrant children are high achievers once they have sufficient English language skills, and officers noted that some schools will compete to receive students from some migrant backgrounds. It was suggested that teacher training in equality and diversity issues may help with tackling possible discrimination which could undermine progress of either migrant or non-migrant children.

One example where a specific tension had arisen between new migrants and other residents was in an area of the borough where some residents, especially women (some of whom were migrants themselves) reported being made to feel uncomfortable when newly arrived North African young men were congregating on pavements outside cafes. Local residents and a community group working with the North African migrants, supported by the LA, developed poster campaigns to make the new migrants aware of local behavioural norms. Officers' views were that rather than the posters themselves, the most impact on easing local tensions seemed to be through the work to develop them, and to communicate with the men and the owners of shops they were using (coupled with a local police response).

Most interviewees pointed to *Hackney Today*, the LA's free fortnightly newspaper which is delivered to all homes and businesses in the borough, as an important initiative in promoting positive attitudes to diversity (including towards migrants). The LA continues to produce *Hackney Today* despite 2011 national government guidelines advising LAs not to produce such publications more than four times a year. Though *Hackney Today* does not include a specialist section on migration, it includes stories which show the borough's diversity in a positive light and publicises services and events that are available for all residents.

#### Who are the intended target groups?

As noted above, most of the relevant work of the LA and partners targets all residents in Hackney, regardless of migrant status.

#### What are the objectives of the activity?

The objectives of these activities are to promote a shared sense of belonging and equality of life chances. The emphasis is on delivering good services which meet the needs of all residents, recognising that doing so may require delivering services differently to people with different needs. Communications activities which promote positive attitudes to migrants do not single this out as a specific objective, but aim to celebrate diversity and include all residents of Hackney, regardless of migration or any other status.

#### Is there a gap between intention of an initiative and what happens in practice?

Interviewees who worked directly with residents and communities shared more senior officers' views that in general, there were positive attitudes to migrants in Hackney. However, they also described some encounters with negative attitudes. For example, there were cases of long-term local residents claiming that new migrants had better access to services (particularly housing) than themselves. One interviewee suggested that the efforts of the LA to make the reception staff at the Service Centre visibly representative of the borough's ethnic diversity may have made some white residents feel disconnected (in the way that a majority white staff might make some ethnic minority residents feel excluded). Such cases were usually tackled by explaining decision-making processes. However, there was a feeling a) that more support could be given to front-line workers to share accurate information with residents and b) that senior levels of the LA were not seen to be connected to the experiences of front-line staff or residents.

*Is there consistency across the different parts of the LA in the messages that are communicated?* The majority of interviewees agreed that promoting equality and diversity (and within this, positive attitudes to migrants) was a major ambition of the LA, integrated into both service delivery and communications. Whilst *Hackney Today* was seen by many interviewees as an important element of promoting cohesion in the borough, and as effective in doing so, the team which produce it do not view this as a primary objective of the publication, but as a potential side-effect. Despite the consistency of the focus on needs rather than groups, whilst celebrating diversity, there remain ongoing negotiations between the LA (and its attempts at consistent messaging) and the VCS (and its championing of specific excluded groups), because of the nature of the balancing act between universal and specific needs. While these relationships may not always be easy, and require continued work, positive messages around migration are shared across these partners.

Where does the initiative for this work come from, and who exercises leadership in pursuing it? Work on attitudes to migrants and other cohesion issues does not appear to have arisen from a specific 'crisis', though specific developments can be linked to identification of needs of specific migrant groups, national policy events (such as the report of the COIC) availability of funding (MIF), or information about potential developing tensions. While all of those interviewed who work in the borough now describe generally positive attitudes to migrants, the more troubled history of previous decades in the borough should not be dismissed as a factor making the development of strong relationships a priority. There is an awareness among LA officials, politicians, residents and others that in previous decades Hackney (both the place and the local authority) notoriously experienced racism (both violent and institutional). The determination to avoid such occurrences now is perhaps strengthened by these memories.

As noted above, senior officers emphasised the importance of political leadership in positive attitudes to diversity. Some suggested this leadership was supported by the consistency of the elected Mayor and Cabinet system. Leadership from officers also appeared important to recognising links between areas of work and potential impacts on cohesion or migrants, and came from both service delivery units and the corporate centre. The Communications Team in the Council is made up of communications professionals, including former journalists, who tend to focus on delivering initiatives requested of them by colleagues, rather than developing a specific mission around cohesion. Additional expertise in community engagement is drawn on across sections of the LA on occasion. Evidence from this research suggests it may be possible to build in additional learning by gathering information and developing communications through and with front-line staff, who may not feel their experience currently informs communications.

Leadership from within the VCS is important in providing links to migrant communities and other residents, and often providing a 'challenge' role to LA work, particularly in championing the needs of minorities. Occasional differences of opinion and approach between the LA and its partners is a strength, especially as this does not prevent the organisations from continuing to work together. Where challenges arise, these are often process-related (for example, over how to develop recommendations and/or actions from the Migrant Strategy which are achievable within LA limitations), rather than necessarily about attitudes to migrants or intended outcomes. The LSP is valued particularly by VCS groups as linking them to the LA leadership, and to other local organisations including the NHS and the Police.

While evaluation of most services and initiatives, as elsewhere, tends to be based on output measures or customer service satisfaction surveys, the borough has in recent years become increasingly proactive in gathering data to plan strategically around issues of cohesion and integration. Local findings of the Place Survey were examined for patterns and anomalies in all areas including cohesion – for example, while celebrating the very high score on NI1, officers and members also queried the relatively low score for NI31 (perceptions that people in the area treat one another with respect and consideration). In June 2009, the LA launched a cross-cutting review of Cohesion to a) establish what a suitable articulation of cohesion and integration would be in Hackney (partly in response to the report of the COIC), and b) identify specific areas for action based on empirical action research. The LA's co-sponsorship of a PhD studentship contributed to the design of the review, its research and findings. The LA has previously commissioned research and worked with external consultants and think tanks to develop research and learning about cohesion activities in the borough; however, this has not tended to focus specifically on migrants, nor on communications alone. Following disorder within the borough which was part of widespread disturbances across England in August 2011, the LA has been adamant that information about what occurred and who was involved should be gathered before making general statements about the causes or consequences of the riots.

#### What is the evidence on the outcomes of these activities?

As there is not a specific strand of work dedicated to communication (only) to improve attitudes to migrants (only), there is not a set of local evaluation data on just those questions. Data on good relations tends to be understood through the results of the Place Survey, local knowledge and face-to-face contact through community groups and local councillors, and data on local crimes or disorder. Interviewees who described specific factors that they thought contributed to positive attitudes to migrants in the borough (such as the history and complexity of the population, or political leadership), made clear that it was difficult to measure a direct causal effect. They also pointed out that dissatisfaction and discrimination were not necessarily absent from the borough, but that they seemed, based on qualitative experience and quantitative evidence that was available, to be much less common than impressions of other places.

#### What factors can be identified which determine outcomes?

There is a general consensus that the generally positive attitudes to migrants in Hackney is in large part due to the diversity of the local population. Interviewees referred to there being no single large community group which can be identified as a threat – rather there are many smaller groups with internal differences and similarities. Some also alluded to the history of the borough as a poor and migrant area, and as a place which had in the past attracted radical left-wing residents (often middle class and white British) who might also be positive about the borough's diversity. Many also referred to narratives of gentrification where the 'new arrivals' who are seen as a threat are newer wealthier residents, seen as a shared threat making housing unaffordable for existing Hackney residents (whatever their migration history). The focus on needs-based service delivery was seen by senior LA officers as crucial, and attributed to political leadership, particularly of the elected Mayor who has been in his position since 2002, and Leader of the Council prior to that.

#### What learning can be drawn from this evidence and analysis for this case study?

Arguably, the attempts of senior decision-makers within the LA and outside it (notably in the VCS, but also among other partners in the LSP) to develop a needs-based approach, reinforced by attention to specific needs which could otherwise be overlooked in mainstream services, is one of Hackney's strengths. That is, there are continuing debates in Hackney about which needs are met and how, and the ability to have this debate, and to maintain a questioning attitude, may be one of the locality's strengths.

# **Appendix 1: Abbreviations**

FF	
BNP	British National Party
CEN	Community Empowerment Network
CLG	Department of Communities and Local Government
COSLA	Convention of Scottish Local Authorities
СРР	Community Planning Partnership
EDL	English Defence League
EH	Environmental Health
EHO	Environmental Health Officer
EU	European Union
GCC	Glasgow City Council
GP	General Practitioner
GRAMNET	Glasgow Refugee And Migrant Network
HCVS	Hackney Council for Voluntary Service
HIP	Humber Improvement Partnership
НМО	House in Multiple Occupation
IDeA	Improvement and Development Agency
INDIE	Inclusion and Diversity in Education (project of the British Council)
LAA	Local Area Agreement
LBH	London Borough of Hackney
LGID	Local Government Improvement and Development
LMAG	Leicester Multicultural Advisory Group
LRA	Local or Regional Authority
LSB	Local Service Board
LSP	Local Strategic Partnership
MEP	Member of European Parliament
MIF	Migration Impacts Fund
MRAP	Mayor's refugee Advisory Panel
NGO	Non Governmental Organisation
SCT	Specialist Cohesion Team
SOA	Single Outcome Agreement
TLT	The Learning Trust
UKBA	UK Borders Agency
VCO	voluntary and community organisation
WMSMP	West Midlands Strategic Migration Partnership
WSREC	West of Scotland Regional Equality Council

# **Appendix 2: Methodological Notes**

#### **Research question**

This report was intended to identify existing sources of research on promising LRA communication practices to promote positive attitudes to migrants, and to collect new data on specific case studies through interviews and documentary searches. The research for this report built on the AMICALL UK background paper which provides context on the governance arrangements, migration histories and attitudinal data on migration for the UK.

#### **Scoping the research**

An initial informal briefing paper was produced to scope the existing policy and practice literature on LRA communication work related to attitudes to migrants. This found a large number of relevant reports summarizing LRA activity, but mostly with a slightly different scope to that of AMICALL (e.g. not only focused on communications work, or not focused on attitudes to third country nationals, or with varying definitions of 'migrant' and of 'communication activity'). These sources were drawn on this final report, and include:

- Findings from the national Migration Excellence Programme, case studies detailed at idea.gov.uk/migration
- Publications from regional migration partnerships, particularly evaluation reports of the Migration Impacts Fund (e.g. Yorkshire and Humber Regional Migration Partnership (2010))
- Local Government Improvement and Development Migration Communities of Practice online network
- Individual local authority publications
- COSLA migration team
- Reports and evaluations from the Specialist Cohesion Teams (Breckland and Barnsley)
- COIC evidence and publications
- Audit Commission reports (notably Audit Commission, 2007)
- Think tank research (IPPR has produced several reports including case studies, and is currently conducting new qualitative research on public attitudes towards migration in the UK with the International Organisation for Migration)
- Institute for Community Cohesion resources
- Contacts with a range of umbrella, service delivery and lobbying organisations will also be pursued, e.g. London Councils, NILGA, WLGA, Greater London Authority migration team, Gangmasters Licensing Authority, UKBA, LGID, LGIU.

From these reports, and from initial telephone and email contact with relevant experts (e.g. regional migration partnership coordinators) were identified several promising practice examples demonstrating a range of approaches to communication and context. Care was also taken to ensure these examples included a mixture of urban and rural case studies, and areas which had experienced different types of migration at different periods.

#### **Selecting case studies**

It was noted that beginning from 'promising practices' which are already described as such within policy and practice literature, there is a danger of ignoring alternative approaches, practices which have not received previous publicity, or which have 'failed' but may nevertheless have 'promising' lessons to offer. To some extent these risks are inherent in the case study approach on which the AMICALL project relied. However, they were mitigated in the following ways:

- Each of the four case study initiatives identified from existing literature were investigated further through telephone and email contact with LRA contacts involved in the initiative and its subsequent development. These contacts provided further documentation on the approaches taken, as well as frank discussion about the successes and limitations of individual projects, and their development over time.
- An additional promising practice initiative (Slough) was added following contacts with regional
  migration representatives who shared information on their work. Though the LRA had already
  begun to share their learning from the local initiative, particularly through the academic researchers
  with whom they were working, this was an additional practice which was not previously widely
  available as a source of practice to other LRAs.

In addition, the two in-depth case studies were not identified as 'promising practice' initiatives as such, but on the basis of their differing and complex experiences of migration to their respective areas, and evidence that they had engaged in a range of communications work in relation to this (see box below for an extract from the briefing paper giving this rationale).

#### Box A: Rationale for choosing in-depth case study areas

#### 1. Glasgow

Glasgow is the only asylum reception centre area in Scotland. It is the largest city in Scotland and the third largest in the UK. There has been extensive work on different strands of migration policy in the city – including work by housing providers, work on communicating with Roma communities, dealing with hate crime against asylum seekers and refugees, investigation into the impacts of human trafficking in some areas of the city. The city's work on migration is within the context of Scottish communications encouraging and promoting migration as a positive benefit (see above). A detailed case study would allow consideration of a very large city authority which has seen previous migration. It would look at whether and how communications activities around improving attitudes to migrants are considered to be important in themselves, how they are developed, promoted and evaluated if so, and whether the variety of interventions which exist are being linked through a coherent communications strategy.

2. Hackney

The London Borough of Hackney scores very highly on the national indicator for cohesion, that is, over 80% of people tend to agree that 'people from different backgrounds get along well together in this area'. It has a long history of diverse migration patterns. Many of the issues that other areas of the country are experiencing for the first time as a result of new migration – for example, large numbers of children in schools speaking different languages, and high turnover of pupil populations from year to year – have been long-standing experiences in Hackney. The Local Strategic Partnership, Team Hackney, has recently produced a Draft Migrant Strategy. This case study would provide an opportunity to examine how well this attempt at a strategic approach has connected across different parts of local government, in the context of a super-diverse area, one of the poorest parts of the UK which also includes many wealthy residents, and where housing costs and pressures on housing are high. There is also scope to examine how far the local borough's work on communication activities to improve attitudes to migrants tie in with regional strategies for London developed by the Greater London Authority through their migration work.

#### The research process

Email contact was initiated with a set of contacts from LRAs and other organisations identified from the literature and from previous networks. Initial emails explained the purpose of the work and asked for a short telephone meeting, interview or email discussion of key research questions (see box below – though

these were tailored for each contact as appropriate). Initial emails were followed up by telephone and email as appropriate. In addition, each respondent as asked if they could think of additional relevant contacts for the research.

#### Box B: Discussion questions presented to research participants

If possible, I would like to speak with you to discuss in more detail the work you have been involved in to improve attitudes to migrants through communications initiatives, and in particular any work that has been done to evaluate the effectiveness of this work. I am also interested in the following broad questions:

- what communications work local and regional government is doing to influence attitudes to migrants
- what measures of effectiveness there are for this work
- which approaches have been most successful, and why
- what the main challenges might be, and ways of tackling them
- where the impetus for work on communications about migration tends to come from (e.g. do ideas begin from residents, local politicians, national policy, a mixture of these, or somewhere else)
- how communications on migration are coordinated between local authorities, between directorates within local authorities, and with other local partners
- what the future prospects for work in this area might be.

Answers to the questions above were gathered through semi-structured interviews by telephone or face to face, or through extended email contact. Many respondents also provided additional documentation about local initiatives or research reports they had used.

For the in-depth case studies, an initial contact was made in the relevant LRA, who was asked for general consent to being included in the study. The potential benefits to the LRA (learning from elsewhere as part of a transnational network, sharing local good practice, and internal learning) were discussed. For Hackney, it was relatively unproblematic to identify a local gatekeeper (partly because of an existing relationship of trust between the researcher and the organisation). This officer helped to organise interviews with the following range of participants:

- Assistant Chief Executive
- Communications and consultation officer
- Head of Policy and Equalities
- A front-line working from the Housing Department
- A group of officers working in the education provider for Hackney, The Learning Trust
- The head of the Hackney Refugee and Migrant Forum

In Glasgow, there was more difficulty in establishing communications with the corporate leadership of the LRA. However, alternative contacts were identified independently through the researcher's existing contacts, and through developing new networks. The following interviews were carried out in Glasgow, over a period of a week:

- An education officer
- An asylum welfare officer
- An elected politician
- Workers at a migrants rights organisation
- A regeneration officer
- A local researcher and activist

• A research officer at the Scottish Refugee Council

As with the rest of the research process, interviews were supplemented by documents and materials provided by research participants and identified through web research.

Table A: Organisations and individuals involved in the research				
Type of organisation	Number of organisations contacted	Number of people contacted	Number of people making substantial contributions to the research (providing information or participation)	Number of people involved in technical workshop
LRA	24	47	29	12
Government Department	3	7	5	0
LRA umbrella organisations	4	7	4	3
Academics, think tanks and research organisations	5	6	4	2
Migrant organisations	5	9	8	2
Other civil society organisations	6	7	6	1

#### **Producing and reflecting on findings**

Following the research fieldwork, a technical workshop was organised bringing together a range of LRAs and other organisations to test the initial research findings. Participants were selected from among those who had been interviewed for the case studies and the broader research, and supplemented by representatives from other LRAs nominated by members of the research team or other interested participants. An effort was made to ensure a mixture of regional coverage, particularly across the four constituent nations of the UK (which also have differing LRA structures and migration contexts).

The findings of this research was written up as a draft report, which was circulated to individuals invited to the technical workshop. The individual case studies were not included in that report, but circulated as drafts to the LRAs and other contacts involved in producing each one. All of those to whom the whole report and the case studies were circulated were encouraged to send comments and suggested changes to the research team, and many of these comments have been included in the current draft.

At the technical workshop, the overall findings of the report were briefly presented, and presentations were given by representatives from five of the case studies (Breckland, COSLA, Hackney, Peterborough, Slough). After specific questions about each of the presentations, participants were involved in an open and lively discussion about the issues raised by the report and the presentations, and in particular about regional variations in attitudes and activities. Detailed notes of these discussions were taken. Following the workshop, the researcher condensed these notes into the following table, which has been used to inform this version of the report:

Tab	Table B: Questions and actions from the UK Technical Workshop				
Questions raised/ points to ensure included in the final report		e Implications for research report			
1.	What other similar research is taking place in the UK/elsewhere?	Some summary of this in the draft report – we can highlight this as a useful aspect			
2.	Need to ensure there is a recognition of regional differences (in governance and experience) around the UK, particularly in context of devolution (could strengthen attention to Wales)				
3.	policy - e.g. national statements about	Some of these ambiguities might be addressed in the UK context report section? And fieldwork report can refer to how they are managed by LRAs.			
4.	To what extent is the 'good relations' duty being used by LRAs? General consensus was this could be a good lever to secure political support, however if it is not being monitored at national level the leverage may be limited.	Making these linkages might be best referred to in recommendations section.			
5.	How to avoid tick box approach? And lack of desire for 'just another toolkit'. Outputs need to be flexible, useful, and recognise what is possible for LRAs in current context.	Note in recommendations, and apply this to both recommendations and production of 'toolkit' (maybe call this something else). This should be something which can be flexible, useful, and not resource-intensive to use.			
6.		Try not to get hung up on arguments about language – recognise process of negotiating what language/concepts to use locally may be part of the process of developing positive communications (and needs to be flexible). Include something to this effect in both findings and recommendations.			
7.	The importance of leadership – in particular the question of negotiating relationships between officer and political leadership, and engaging politicians	Some elements of this are flagged in the draft report but could be drawn out specifically as it seemed to be a major concern for participants.			
8.	Practicalities of showing <i>how</i> to get along	Draft report promising practice examples intended to address this			
9.	Changing national policy context – forthcoming UK Government Integration Strategy, differences between devolved governments				
10.	What evidence is there that contact between migrants and non-migrants changes attitudes to <i>the idea of</i> migration, rather than simply to individual migrants?	This may be a more epistemological question for overall AMICALL discussion??			

11.	ESOL (especially access to ESOL given funding situation) a major issue for integration	This is noted in the draft report
12.	Promising practices and best practice approach tends to be upbeat – is there an opportunity to discuss what <i>doesn't</i> work – and does the nature of this project mean we will leave out LRAs who are <i>not</i> engaged in effective practice?	Ways of involving less positive LRAs (e.g. through MRCOs) could be discussed at policy roundtable
13.	As well as addressing myths, need to recognise some negative attitudes stem from harsh realities	This is discussed in the draft report with reference to specific examples.
14.	Availability of data on both local and national scales – the responsibility of LRAs to know about their residents (and finding adequate systems to do so)	
15.	How are we defining 'migrant'?	This is discussed in the draft report (and links to point (16) below).
16.	The relationship of funding streams (and their limitations) to practical local issues in shaping activity	This is noted in the draft report
17.	term in local areas (than migration specifically)	Links to discussion of policy language in (6) above – potentially could be included in recommendations/ for further exploration.

At the workshop and immediately following it, many attendees commented that they had found it a useful and productive experience. Many were extremely keen to develop ongoing learning networks, driven by local government in particular. COMPAS agreed to circulate the contact details of all those who did not have an objection, to enable ongoing networking.

Several participants and other interviewees who received the draft report have sent further comments on the draft report, some of which have been incorporated in this version, and others (received later) which will be incorporated in the next and final version. Most of these comments have been largely minor, or about particular emphases in certain sections.

The current report is near-final, and will be circulated one final time to technical workshop participants, and those who otherwise contributed substantially to the report, for final comments. They will also received draft recommendations for discussion and comment, based on the research in this report, which will be the basis for a national UK Policy Roundtable in February 2012. Any comments received from readers of this draft will also be used to inform that policy discussion.

### **Appendix 3: References**

- Audit Commission (2007) *Crossing Borders: Responding to the local challenges of migrant workers*, London: Audit Commission.
- Blinder, S (2011) UK Public Opinion toward Migration: Determinants of Attitudes. Oxford: Migration Observatory.
- Bynner, C (2010) Review of Community Engagement in Neighbourhood Management in Govanhill: Report for the Govanhill Neighbourhood Management Steering Group. Glasgow: Glasgow Community Planning Partnership. <u>http://www.glasgowcpp.org.uk/NR/rdonlyres/7D3F897C-799D-4606-8200-E7B3932883DF/0/ReviewofCommunityEngagementinNeighbourhoodManagementinGovanhill.pdf</u>
- CLG [Communities and Local Government] (2009) Cohesion and migration in Breckland: A Specialist Cohesion Team Report. London: CLG.
- COSLA [Convention of Scottish Local Authorities] (2011) CASE STUDY: COSLA Strategic Migration Partnership supports Shetland Islands Council to use Migration Policy Toolkit. Glasgow: COSLA.
- COSLA [Convention of Scottish Local Authorities] (2011) CASE STUDY: COSLA Strategic Migration Partnership supports Glasgow City Council to use Migration Policy Toolkit. Glasgow: COSLA.
- Crawley, H (2009) Understanding and Changing Public Attitudes: A Review of Existing Evidence from Public Information and Communications Campaigns, Swansea: Centre for Migration Policy Research, University of Swansea.
- Crawley, H (2005) *Evidence on Attitudes to Asylum and Immigration: What we know, don't know, and need to know.* Oxford: COMPAS.
- Finney, N. and Robinson, V. (2008) 'Local press, dispersal and community in the construction of asylum debates', *Social and Cultural Geography* 9(4): 397-413.
- Francis-Brophy, E, Nygaard, C and Fuller, C (2011) *Slough Borough Council Cohesion Research, Stage One.* Reading: University of Reading.
- HCVS [Hackney Council for Voluntary Service] (2011) *Coming to Hackney: Building Our Borough. A Migrant Strategy for Hackney 2011 to 2014, Revised Draft March 2011.* Unpublished internal document. London: HCVS.
- ICoCo [Institute of Community Cohesion] (2006) *Review of Community Cohesion in Oldham: Challenging* Local Communities to Change Oldham, Coventry: Coventry University.
- IDeA [Improvement and Development Agency] (2007) 'New European Migration: Good Practice Guide for Local Authorities', London: IDeA.
- IDeA [Improvement and Development Agency] (2008) 'Humber Improvement Partnership', IDeA website Migration Case Studies, <u>http://www.idea.gov.uk/idk/core/page.do?pageId=8917092#contents-3</u> last accessed November 2011.
- IDeA [Improvement and Development Agency] (2008) New Migration, Changing Dynamics, Local Responses: Learning from the Migration Excellence Programme. London: IDeA and CLG.
- Ipsos-MORI (2007) 'What works' in Community Cohesion: Research study conducted for Communities and Local Government and the Commission on Integration and Cohesion, London: DCLG.
- Kitchin, H., Phillimore, J., Goodson, L., Mayblin, L., Jones, A., Pickstock, A., Weir, S. and Blick, A. (2009) Communicating Cohesion: Evaluating Local Authority Community Cohesion Strategies, Birmingham: University of Birmingham.
- Land Registry (2011) Land Registry House Price Index: September 2011, Date of Release: 28 October 2011. London: Land Registry.
- LBH [London Borough of Hackney] (2011) Key Facts and Figures: July 2011. London: LBH.
- Lewis, M. and Newman, N. 2007 *Challenging Attitudes, Perceptions and Myths*, London: IPPR/Commission on Integration and Cohesion.
- Matthews, R (2006) *Migrant workers: Impact on local areas and services, report to the Audit Commission on study visit to Hull and East Riding.* Audit Commission.

- Mayhew, L, Harper, G and Waples, S (2011) *Counting Hackney's Population Using Administrative Data: An analysis of change between 2007 and 2011.* London: London Borough of Hackney.
- Open Society Foundation (2011) At Home in Europe: Living Together. Projects Promoting Inclusion in 11 European Cities. New York: Open Society Foundations.
- Sim, D. and Gow, J. (2008) 'In North Lanarkshire, they decided to keep us safe': the Gateway Protection Programme in Motherwell. Research Report to North Lanarkshire Partnership, Paisley: University of the West of Scotland.

Southwark Alliance (no date) 'Celebrating Southwark: A Sense of Belonging', London: Southwark Alliance.

- Sutton, M., Perry, B., Parke, J. and John-Baptiste, C. (2007) *Getting the message across: using media to reduce racial prejudice and discrimination*, London: CLG.
- TCC [The Campaign Company] (2010) *Towards Community Cohesion in the Thames Gateway: Alienated Indigenous Communities and the Recession*, London: Capital Ambition.
- Tikly, L (2009) Inclusion and Diversity in Education: Project Baseline Report. London: British Council.
- WMSMP [West Midlands Strategic Migration Partnership] (2009) Where our journeys meet: A West Midlands resource for raising awareness on refugee, asylum and migrant issues. WMSMP.
- Yorkshire and Humber Regional Migration Partnership (2010) *Migration Impacts Fund in Yorkshire and Humber "What we did, and what we learned" End of projects report - November 2010,* Leeds: YHRMP.