

# New Migration and Community Change

David Robinson



Centre for Regional Economic and Social Research  
Sheffield Hallam University

22 June 2012

- 
- ❑ **AHRC - Connected Communities Scoping Study: New Migration, Neighbourhood Effects and Community Change** (Robinson and Walshaw)  
<http://www.ahrc.ac.uk/FundingOpportunities/Documents/CC%20scoping%20studies/Robinson.pdf>
  
  - ❑ **JRF - The Housing Pathways of New Immigrants** (Robinson, Reeve and Casey). <http://www.jrf.org.uk/publications/housing-pathways-new-immigrants>
  
  - ❑ **JRF - Neighbourhood, Community and Housing in Bradford** (Phillips, Athwal, Harrison, Robinson, Bashir and Atkinson).  
<http://www.jrf.org.uk/publications/neighbourhood-community-housing-bradford>

# Conclusions....

---

1. **Limits of the evidence base** - ambiguity and confusion regarding the impacts of migration
2. **Glimpses into local impacts** - limited evidence base points to different impacts in different places
3. **Explaining local experiences** - little understanding of variable impacts but place appears to be important
4. **Interventions to mediate local challenges** - little known about what works

---

# 1. Limits of the Evidence Base

# Impacts of the New Migration

---

- immigration as **"the most worrying issue facing the UK"**
- <5% in the mid-1990s
- 44% in 2006 (IPSOS MORI, 2006)
- 24% in February 2012 (IPSOS MORI, 2012)
  
- **replaying of well worn debates about threat to economic and social well-being, national identity and security**
  
- **BUT....what's the evidence?**

# An ambiguous and contradictory picture

---

- ❑ migration has only a small impact on ***national GDP and suppresses wages***....BUT also creates new businesses and jobs, fills labour market gaps and encourages growth in average wages
- ❑ can exacerbate ***deprivation and further concentrate poverty***....BUT can also serve as a driver of neighbourhood regeneration and renewal
- ❑ migrants are ***utilising public services***....BUT not, apparently, in the numbers or with the consequences presumed in popular debate
- ❑ ***tensions and conflict*** can arise between new and settled residents....BUT are not an inevitability
- ❑ population change and increasing diversity has caused resource and planning problems for ***service providers***....BUT many agencies have adapted with relative ease to change

# My argument.....

---

- ambiguities and contradictions = reflection of different experiences and outcomes in different places
- ....for new and settled residents and the places where they live
- **result** = a *variable geography* of experiences, impacts and consequences

---

## **2. Glimpses into Local Impacts**

- 
- ❑ evidence tends to focus on ***national context*** at the expense of local geographies of change
  - ❑ fails to consider how consequences might be manifest and managed in ***different ways in different contexts***
  - ❑ fragmentary glimpses emerging into experiences at the local level
  - ❑ two key themes.....

# New Migration, Poverty and Deprivation

---

## Place impacting on migrant experiences

- ❑ new migrants often live in deprived neighbourhoods - characterised by poor housing, high unemployment, restricted service provision/local amenities
- ❑ .... situations likely to have long-term impact on life experiences

## Potential for migration to impact on places

- ❑ reinforcing existing geographies of deprivation and exclusion
- ❑ intensification of forms of social exclusion associated with living in physically and socially deprived neighbourhoods, such as barriers to labour market engagement and civic participation
- ❑ strain on services - e.g. additional demands on scarce resources in local schools already under pressure, resulting in tensions among parents and pupils

---

*perhaps not surprising that settled residents commonly perceive 'immigrants' as unwanted outsiders adding to the burden of deprivation and contributing nothing (Hickman et al., 2008)*

## **BUT**

- ▣ migrants have, in some locations, filled voids in the housing system
- ▣ helped tackle the blight of vacant properties (Robinson et al., 2007)
- ▣ provided a settled population in neighbourhoods characterised by high turnover (Pemberton, 2009)
- ▣ raised the educational and skill levels within the local population (Thorp, 2008)
- ▣ underpinned the viability of local services, amenities and facilities in neighbourhoods suffering population decline (Hickman et al., 2008)

# Cohesion challenges of Migration

---

- ❑ damage to cohesion in deprived communities with little experience of diversity - disruption of everyday life and challenge to bounded/place-specific identities
- ❑ less able to capitalise on the possibilities presented by new migration, particularly when unsupported by interventions aimed at mediating the challenges raised by this process of change
- ❑ the capacity and infrastructure that can exist in established areas of minority ethnic settlement results in these areas often having more to offer new arrivals than many other deprived neighbourhoods
- ❑ competition over scarce resources - potential to promote conflict between new arrivals and settled residents, to promote racist sentiments and undermine community well-being (e.g. housing in 'tight' markets, such as London)



## Polish and Pakistani migrants in Sheffield

- TI • living in cosmopolitan landscapes around Sheffield city centre, which had a long history of accommodating diversity and difference
- • Pakistani respondents talked about the invaluable advice and assistance received from family and friends and community-led services that helped them negotiate their way through various bureaucratic procedures and access key services such as health care
- • Polish migrant workers insisted where they lived was not a concern and that they did not seek out areas where other Polish people were living
- • but pointed to benefits associated with living close to other Polish migrants, including the help and assistance finding work and accommodation
- • new migrants spoke positively about their White British neighbours in a way that respondents living in neighbourhoods with little history of accommodating diversity and difference rarely did

proble  
establi



© Crown Copyright/database right 2007. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.

unsettled

**CRESR**

Centre for Regional Economic  
and Social Research



## Liberian and Somali refugees in Sheffield

- stories of neighbourliness and positive interactions with fellow residents largely absent from the settlement stories of respondents, who had been allocated to social housing on peripheral estates
- talked about having few friends living nearby, of little contact with neighbours and feeling alone and out of place
- sense of isolation that was compounded by the corrosive affect of harassment – verbal abuse and graffiti, attacks to property and in some instances physical violence by neighbours and other local residents
- reported to be commonplace in and around the home
- alienating affect, leaving people fearing for their safety and feeling unwelcome in their local neighbourhood, the city and the UK more generally



© Crown Copyright/database right 2007. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.

---

## **3. Explaining Local Experiences**

	Contact Theory	Conflict Theory
<b>Modelling</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>diversity increases contact opportunities</li> <li>+ve contact promotes mutual understanding</li> <li>+ve impact of living in more diverse neighbourhoods</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>area deprivation has stronger -ve effect on cohesion than diversity</li> <li>diversity blamed because of strong association with poverty</li> <li>social disorganisation can undermine inter-group relations</li> </ul>
<b>Qualitative</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>diverse places better at adapting to new migration, more inclusive, foster more +ve integration experience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>material underpinnings to tensions that take a racialised form</li> <li>conflict more likely where change = challenge to local identities</li> <li>more likely when lack of interaction and -ve media portrayals</li> </ul>
<b>Caveats</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>interventions can promote +ve interactions...but certain conditions have to be satisfied</li> <li>unpredictability of contact</li> <li>is prejudice always rooted in ignorance?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>struggles over resources do not inevitably result in hostility</li> <li>interventions can help mediate challenges raised by the process of change</li> </ul>

---

Hints at what is important to attitudes and relations:

- ❑ composition of the population (new and settled)
  - ❑ material context
  - ❑ local resources
  - ❑ institutional infrastructure
  - ❑ local political culture and actions
  - ❑ identities, belongings and sense of place
- 
- ❑ i.e. **place** - the 3Cs - *composition, context, collective*

# At the Neighbourhood Level (Robinson et al., 2007)

---



# At the City Level (Glick Schiller and Caglar, 2009)

---



- 
- useful organising devices
  - point to some of the ways in which geography matters for the experiences and impacts of new migration

**BUT**

- how to understand the interaction between these factors?

---

## 4. Mediating Local Challenges

- 
- ❑ policy slow to recognise potential of migration to be a driver of community change
  - ❑ little guidance issued about how to understand and respond to associated challenges
  - ❑ local initiatives have sprung up (statutory and VCS) often under the guise of the community cohesion agenda
  - ❑ typically reactive
  - ❑ priorities included: community development, promoting understanding, improving service response, promoting integration, managing tensions

---

## BUT

- ❑ difficult to glean insights from evidence base re: what works
- ❑ interventions rarely subject to evaluation
- ❑ info takes the form of short vignettes - describing but not evaluating
- ❑ little analysis of context, inputs, strategies or methodologies of initiatives
- ❑ little analysis of outputs and associated costs and benefits
  
- ❑ no evidence to substantiate claims of 'good practice'

# Summing Up

---

- ❑ analysis of the impacts of new migration has largely ignored local geographies of change
- ❑ available evidence hints at some of the drivers of community change and associated challenges
- ❑ but little known about the relationship between places, experiences and impacts
- ❑ local interventions not subject to evaluation
- ❑ therefore difficult to provide policy with options for managing change

# Bibliography

---

- ❑ Cheong, Edwards, Goulbourne and Solomos (2007), Immigration, social cohesion and social capital: A critical review. *Critical Social Policy*, 27, 1, 24-49.
- ❑ Glick Schiller, N. and Caglar, A. (2009) Towards a comparative theory of locality in migration studies: Migrant incorporation and city scale. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 35, 2, 177-202.
- ❑ Hickman M, Crowley H, Mai N, 2008 *Immigration and social cohesion in the UK. The rhythms and realities of everyday life*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- ❑ Kesten, J., Cochrane, Mohan, G. and Neal, S. (2011) Multiculture and community in new city spaces. *Journal of Intercultural Studies*, 32, 2, 133-150
- ❑ Pemberton S, 2009, "Economic migration from the EU 'A8' accession countries and the impact on low-demand housing areas: opportunity or threat for Housing Market Renewal Pathfinder programmes in England?" *Urban Studies* 46(7) 1363 - 1384.
- ❑ Phillips, D., Athwal, B., Harrison, M., Robinson, D., Bashir, N. and Atkinson, J. (2010) *Neighbourhood, Community and Housing in Bradford: building understanding between new and settled groups*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- ❑ Pillai, R., Kyambi, S., Nowacka, K. and Srisikandarajah, D. (2007) *The reception and integration of new migrant communities*, London: IPPR.
- ❑ Robinson, D. (2010) Neighbourhood effects of new immigration, *Environment and Planning A*, 42, 10, pp. 2451-2466.
- ❑ Robinson, D., Reeve, K. and Casey, R. (2007) *The Housing Pathways of New Immigrants*. York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- ❑ Stenning A, Dawley S, (2009), "Poles to Newcastle. Grounding new migrant flows in peripheral regions" *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 16, 3, 273 - 294.
- ❑ Thorp, A (2008), *Impacts of immigration*. House of Commons Library Research Paper 08/65, 25th July 2008.
- ❑ White, A (2011) *Polish Families and migration since EU accession*. Bristol: Policy Press.